

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF UTAH
CENTRAL DIVISION

In re:)
)
UNITED STATES OF)
AMERICA,)
)
Plaintiff,)
)
vs.) Case No. 2:18-CR-365
)
LEV ASLAN DERMEN,)
a/k/a Levon)
Termendzhyan,)
)
Defendant.)
)
-----)

BEFORE THE HONORABLE BROOKE WELLS

August 13, 2019

Deposition of Ms. Katirina Pattison pursuant to
Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 15

**Government
Exhibit**

A

Appearances of Counsel:

For the Plaintiff: Leslie A. Goemaat
Arthur J. Ewencyk
John E. Sullivan
Attorneys at Law
US Department of Justice
Tax Division
Criminal Enforcement Section
601 D. Street NW 7th Floor
Washington, D.C. 20530

Richard M. Rolwing
Attorney at Law
US Attorney's Office
Tax Division
303 Marconi Blvd Suite 200
Columbus, Ohio 43215

For the Defendant: Mark J. Geragos
Setara Qassim
Attorneys at Law
Geragos & Geragos APC
644 S. Figueroa Street
Los Angeles, California 90017

Court Reporters:

Laura W. Robinson, RPR, FCRR, CSR, CP
Patti Walker, RPR, CP
351 South West Temple
8.430 U.S. Courthouse
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101

I N D E X

<u>Examinations</u>	<u>Page</u>
EVELYN KATIRINA PATTISON	5
DIRECT EXAMINATION	5
BY MS. GOEMAAT	
CROSS-EXAMINATION	89
BY MR. GERAGOS	
REDIRECT EXAMINATION	153
BY MS. GOEMAAT	
RECROSS-EXAMINATION	172
BY MR. GERAGOS	
FURTHER DIRECT EXAMINATION	177
BY MS. GOEMAAT	
FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION	178
BY MR. GERAGOS	

E X H I B I T S

Description	Page
Government's Exhibit 4-1	40
Government's Exhibit 4-2	48
Government's Exhibit 4-3	62
Government's Exhibit 4-4	63
Government's Exhibit 4-5	70
Government's Exhibit 4-9	77
Government's Exhibit 4-7	79
Government's Exhibit 4-8	82
Government's Exhibit 4-10	85

1 **Salt Lake City, Utah August 13, 2019**

2 *** * * * ***

3 THE COURT: All right. We're going to start
4 over. We have a court reporter present now. I'm
00:00:-21 5 calling the *United States of America versus Lev Aslan*
6 *Dermen*. This is case number 2:18-CR-365 assigned to
7 Judge Parrish and referred to me.

8 We are here on an unopposed motion for the
9 deposition of Ms. Katirina Pattison pursuant to
00:00:09 10 Federal Rule of Criminal Procedure 15. I would ask
11 counsel to once again make their appearances.

12 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you. And good morning,
13 Your Honor. Leslie Goemaat on behalf of the United
14 States. Here with Richard Rolwing, Arthur Ewencyk
00:00:27 15 and John Sullivan.

16 THE COURT: Thank you.

17 MR. GERAGOS: Good morning, Your Honor. Mark
18 Geragos, G-E-R-A-G-O-S, with Setara Qassim and
19 Mr. Dermen is present as well.

00:00:34 20 THE COURT: And he -- and I will note his
21 presence. All right. Um, Ms. Goemaat, you may
22 proceed.

23 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor. The
24 United States calls Katirina Pattison.

00:01:25 25 THE COURT: Ms. Pattison, if you will please

1 step forward and be sworn in.

2 THE CLERK: If you could raise your right
3 hand.

4 **EVELYN KATIRINA PATTISON,**

00:01:32 5 called as a witness at the request of the Plaintiff,
6 having been first duly sworn, was examined
7 and testified as follows:

8 THE WITNESS: Yes.

9 THE CLERK: Okay. Have a seat right over
00:01:51 10 here. And if you could pull the microphone as close
11 to you, that is the only way everyone can hear and
12 state your name and spell it for the record, please.

13 THE WITNESS: Does that work?

14 THE COURT: Little bit closer probably.

00:02:02 15 THE WITNESS: How about now. Okay. My name
16 is Evelyn Katirina Pattison.

17 **DIRECT EXAMINATION**

18 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

19 Q. Can you please spell your name for the record,
00:02:13 20 Ms. Pattison.

21 A. E-V-E-L-Y-N, Katirina, K-A-T-I-R-I-N-A, last name
22 Pattison, P-A-T-T-I-S-O-N.

23 Q. Thank you, Ms. Pattison. And as you know this is
24 being recorded so if you can talk as close to the
00:02:28 25 microphone as possible that will be helpful for the

1 quality of the recording.

2 A. I will do my best.

3 Q. Ms. Pattison, what is your maiden name?

4 A. My maiden name is Tracy.

00:02:41 5 Q. And can you please give a little background on
6 where you're living and what you're doing now?

7 A. Yes. I currently live in Missouri. I am
8 self-employed and I work for my parents on their
9 ranch and we raise cattle and goats.

00:02:55 10 Q. How many goats do you have?

11 A. A lot.

12 Q. Estimate?

13 A. Over 700.

14 Q. Ms. Pattison, are you currently pregnant?

00:03:04 15 A. I am. I am 34 weeks pregnant today.

16 Q. And when are you due?

17 A. September 26th.

18 Q. Ms. Pattison, what's your understanding of why
19 you are here doing videotaped testimony for the trial
00:03:16 20 of Lev Dermen?

21 A. I am here today doing a videotape testimony
22 because I will not be able to be here during the
23 trial which starts September 9th. My doctor has not
24 allowed me to travel past 36 weeks.

00:03:28 25 Q. Thank you. Ms. Pattison, is this your first time

1 testifying?

2 A. No, it is not.

3 Q. Were you involved in your own criminal case?

4 A. I was.

00:03:37

5 Q. Were you in fact criminally charged?

6 A. I was.

7 Q. And can you generally tell the court the nature
8 of the scheme that you were charged with?

00:03:51

9 A. I used to work for a company by the name of Cima
10 Green and Caravan Trading. It was owned by a
11 gentleman by the name of Joseph Furando and his wife
12 and I was the chief operating officer for those
13 companies.

00:04:03

14 Q. And was the case that you were charged with
15 essentially a biodiesel fraud case?

16 A. Yes, it was.

17 Q. Did it relate to RINs and tax credits?

18 A. Yes, it did.

19 Q. Did you plead guilty in that case?

00:04:11

20 A. I did.

21 Q. Did you plead guilty to the felony offense of
22 conspiracy to defraud the United States of America in
23 violation of 18 USC 371?

24 A. Yes, I did.

00:04:23

25 Q. Now, we're going to go over some of the details

1 of the case to which you pled guilty. What was your
2 role at Cima Green and Caravan Trading?

3 A. I was the chief operating officer for those
4 companies.

00:04:35 5 Q. And what was the scheme that you pled guilty to
6 related to Cima Green and Caravan Trading?

7 A. Cima Green worked with a company by the name of
8 E-Biofuels based out of Middletown, Indiana. And
9 what our job was was to act as a middleman. We

00:04:53 10 bought and sold B99 RINless biodiesel and sold it to
11 E-Biofuels so that they could in turn take it and
12 claim that they had produced it and sell it to their
13 customers.

14 Q. Did they claim that they had produced B100?

00:05:09 15 A. Yes, they did.

16 Q. Now, you mentioned B99 and I just mentioned B100.
17 Can you explain your understanding of those two
18 terms?

19 A. B99 is 99 percent biodiesel with one percent
00:05:23 20 diesel fuel added into it and that allows it to be
21 eligible for something that is called the dollar tax
22 credit. And B100 is 100 percent biodiesel that has
23 had nothing added to it.

24 Q. And what is your understanding of what biodiesel
00:05:37 25 is?

1 A. Biodiesel is composed of three main elements.
2 You have your main raw material which is some form of
3 a vegetable oil or animal fat like soybean oil.
4 Sometimes you can use used cooking oil as well and
00:05:52 5 then you need to add methanol and I believe it is
6 also lye as well in order to create a chemical
7 reaction that turns it into fuel.

8 Q. Did that resulting chemical reaction, if at all
9 goes according to plan, is that biodiesel?

00:06:07 10 A. Yes, it is.

11 Q. Do you know whether there is also a glycerin
12 byproduct that results from that process?

13 A. Yes, there is.

14 Q. Now you used the phrase raw material?

00:06:16 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Is there another phrase in the industry that is
17 common for the building blocks of the biodiesel?

18 A. It is also known as feedstock.

19 Q. Now, you mentioned the \$1 tax credit. How do you
00:06:30 20 understand that a company can obtain this \$1 tax
21 credit and what is it exactly?

22 A. The \$1 tax credit to my understanding is a
23 government incentive that is provided to producers in
24 order to make biodiesel. The raw materials or
00:06:46 25 feedstocks are or I should say were very expensive.

1 I'm not sure where they stand now. Um, and the
2 government would provide an incentive for producers
3 to make biodiesel but you had to require them to
4 blend in diesel fuel in order to be eligible for that
00:07:04 5 credit.

6 Q. So that \$1 tax credit, at what point in this
7 process do you understand a company becomes eligible
8 for the \$1 tax credit?

9 A. Can you repeat that?

00:07:15 10 Q. Sure. Is it for making B100 or was it when you
11 blend it into B99?

12 A. It is for when you blend it into B99.

13 Q. Now, is there another kind of government benefit
14 that's available related to the production of
00:07:27 15 biodiesel?

16 A. Yes. It is known as a RIN certificate.

17 Q. Can you explain RINs to the court?

18 A. A RIN is a Renewable Identification Number and I
19 am not positive as to whether it is 36 or 38 digits
00:07:44 20 long. However, it is comprised of the date, the
21 producer code, and then a batch number and possibly
22 some other pieces that I'm not recalling. But those
23 three components I do recall. And it's similar to
24 like a stock certificate. It has a monetary value
00:08:02 25 that fluctuates up and down with the market and there

1 is one and a half RIN credits per one gallon of
2 biodiesel fuel.

3 Q. Who issues these RIN credits?

4 A. The EPA does.

00:08:14

5 Q. And you previously testified that the \$1 tax
6 credit comes when you blend B100 down to at least
7 B99. When do you get this RIN credit?

8 A. Your RIN credit you receive as soon as you
9 produce the fuel. So if you make one gallon of
10 biodiesel, you have a piece of paper that you then
11 submit to the EPA through the EMTS system and it says
12 I producer A made one gallon of biodiesel fuel.

00:08:30

13 Please assign me one and a half RIN credits.

14 Q. And when you say biodiesel, would that also be
15 B100?

00:08:47

16 A. It would be B100, yes.

17 Q. And you testified that it fluctuated with the
18 market. Can you remember from your time in the
19 industry approximately how high these market value --
20 the market value of RINs could go?

00:08:58

21 A. From what I recall, we had seen them as high as a
22 dollar fifty per credit. So for one gallon of
23 biodiesel fuel, it would have been roughly \$2.25.

24 Q. Okay. So based on your understanding, if a
25 producer produced one gallon of B100, and then

00:09:13

1 blended it to 1.01 gallons of B99, what could they
2 get potentially from the United States?

3 A. Approximately \$3.25 worth of government
4 incentives.

00:09:30 5 Q. And that could be the tax credit combined with
6 the market value of the RINs?

7 A. That's correct.

8 Q. Now, can just anyone claim these tax credits or
9 do you have to have some kind of authorization to do
00:09:40 10 that?

11 A. You do have to have some sort of authorization.
12 For the dollar tax credit I believe you had to have a
13 blenders license. And for the RINs, you had to be
14 certified as a producer.

00:09:53 15 Q. Now, with that background, can you explain to the
16 court what it is that you did with Cima Green and
17 Caravan Trading that was the basis of your criminal
18 conviction?

19 A. Yes. So Cima Green and Caravan Trading acted as
00:10:07 20 the middleman. What we had done was we had gone to
21 our supplier, which was Astra Oil up in Calgary,
22 Canada, they were a large trading company that held
23 very large positions in different parts of the United
24 States of biodiesel. They had several what we called
00:10:25 25 in the industry tank farms, they're very large fuel

1 terminals that would contain millions of gallons of
2 biodiesel and other types of fuel. So what we did is
3 we went to Astra Oil and contracted millions of
4 gallons worth of biodiesel, took it and sold it to
00:10:42 5 E-Biofuels. And on our paperwork, instead of listing
6 it as biodiesel, we listed it as feedstock or raw
7 materials.

8 Q. And more specifically, were you purchasing B99 or
9 B100 from Astra?

00:10:56 10 A. We were purchasing B99 RINless biodiesel from
11 Astra. Which meant that the dollar tax credit had
12 already been applied for and RIN credits had already
13 been removed from that fuel so they had been sold off
14 and that value had already been taken. So it was
00:11:13 15 significantly cheaper than what B100 or any form of
16 raw material would cost.

17 Q. And is there any way to take RINless B99 on which
18 the tax credit has already been claimed and the RIN
19 has already been submitted and stripped off and turn
00:11:28 20 it back into B100 that you can claim tax credits and
21 RINs for?

22 A. To the best of my knowledge, no, there is not.

23 Q. And you mentioned that RINless B99 was
24 significantly cheaper than B100 with RINs?

00:11:41 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. Can you explain why?

2 A. The reason being is once you take your dollar tax
3 credit and your RINs off of it, you've removed that
4 amount of money from the deal. When you're

00:11:53

5 purchasing B100 with RINs, you have to factor in that
6 cost into the price of the gallon. So, for example,
7 B100 might cost, I don't know, \$4 and B99 would cost
8 \$2 because you had your dollar tax credit and then
9 you have your one half RINs that were worth the other

00:12:13

10 dollar.

11 Q. Now, you testified that you were purchasing
12 RINless B99 from Astra?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And what were you doing with that RINless B99?

00:12:21

15 A. We were taking the RINless B99 and selling it to
16 E-Biofuels and instead of labeling it as fuel, we
17 labeled it as your feedstock or raw materials.

18 Q. And what was the purpose of fraudulently labeling
19 that B99 as feedstock?

00:12:35

20 A. The purpose of that was so that E-Biofuels could
21 take that material and in turn say that they had
22 produced it and it was eligible again for a dollar
23 tax credit and your RINs.

00:12:49

24 Q. And to your knowledge, did E-Biofuels indeed
25 fraudulently claim RINs and tax credits on that

1 RINless B99 that you sourced for them?

2 A. Yes, they did.

3 Q. And was that part of the charges that you faced
4 in your own case?

00:12:58 5 A. Yes, it was.

6 Q. Can you tell the court the approximate loss in
7 the case to which you pled guilty?

8 A. I believe the approximate amount was
9 \$55,000,000.00.

00:13:09 10 Q. Now, why was it E-Biofuels claiming the tax
11 credits and RINs and not Cima Green or Caravan
12 Trading?

13 A. We were not eligible. We did not have a blenders
14 license nor were we listed as a producer. We did not
00:13:22 15 have a physical biodiesel plant so we were not able
16 to claim any of that through the EPA.

17 Q. And E-Biofuels did have a plan that had been
18 registered to your knowledge?

19 A. Yes, it had been registered and audited so they
00:13:36 20 were eligible to be listed as a producer.

21 Q. So is it fair to say that you needed E-Biofuels
22 to do the front half --

23 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

24 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

00:13:46 25 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Was E-Biofuels a necessary part?

1 A. Yes. Without a functioning biodiesel facility,
2 this would not be possible.

3 Q. Did you and your co-defendant Joseph Furando have
4 a word for this fraud scheme?

00:14:05 5 A. Yes, we called it alchemy.

6 Q. What is alchemy?

7 A. Joe Furando described it as turning nothing into
8 gold.

9 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, hearsay.

00:14:16 10 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, we will be
11 submitting that statement as a co-conspirator
12 statement pursuant to 801(d)(2)(E).

13 THE COURT: Overruled.

14 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Is alchemy also magic?

00:14:28 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Did you at some point do this with a company
17 other than E-Biofuels?

18 A. Yes. We did it with Washakie Renewable Energy.

19 Q. Now, Ms. Pattison, you pleaded guilty to the 371
00:14:43 20 count conspiracy to defraud the United States that
21 you were charged with, correct?

22 A. Yes, I did.

23 Q. Did you also enter into a what is called a
24 cooperation agreement with the government?

00:14:52 25 A. Yes, I did.

1 Q. Can you explain your understanding of the
2 contours of your plea and cooperation agreement that
3 you entered into?

4 A. My understanding was that I was facing a maximum
5 sentence of five years in prison with the best
6 outcome or the other side of the outcome possibly be
7 three years worth of probation. In exchange for that
8 agreement, I had to cooperate in full with any and
9 all questions from the United States Government
10 pursuant to the E-Biofuels case or any other cases
11 that I may have had knowledge of.

12 Q. And so that would include cases other than the
13 one that brought your indictment to the floor,
14 correct?

15 A. Yes, that is correct.

16 Q. To your knowledge, did Joseph Furando cooperate
17 with the government?

18 A. I don't believe he did.

19 Q. Now, as part of your cooperation agreement that
20 you entered into, did you testify at a trial of a
21 co-defendant?

22 A. I did. I testified at the trial of Jeffrey
23 Wilson.

24 Q. Did you also testify at the sentencing of Joseph
25 Furando?

1 A. Yes, I did.

2 Q. And were you then ultimately sentenced for the
3 felony that you pleaded guilty to?

4 A. Yes. I was sentenced after both of those were
5 completed in November -- or on November 16 of 2016.

6 Q. And what sentence did you expect when you were
7 walking into the courtroom?

8 A. I fully expected to walkout of that courtroom and
9 spend five years in prison and say goodbye to my

10 husband and my family.

11 Q. What sentence did you receive?

12 A. By the grace of God I received three years
13 probation.

14 Q. Ms. Pattison, what promises were made to you for
15 your testimony here today?

16 A. Absolutely none.

17 Q. What benefit do you hope to receive for your
18 testimony here today?

19 A. Absolutely none.

20 Q. Why are you here?

21 A. Because it is the right thing to do and I
22 received a subpoena.

23 Q. We're going to turn to Washakie Renewable Energy
24 now. Do you know Jacob Kingston?

25 A. I do.

1 Q. When did you first meet him?

2 A. I first met Jacob Kingston at the National
3 Biodiesel Board Conference in Orlando, Florida in
4 February of 2012.

00:17:06

5 Q. Do you remember who was there when you first met
6 him?

7 A. It was myself, my co-defendant Joseph Furando,
8 Jacob Kingston, Justin Divis and a third-party who I
9 do not remember. I believe he was affiliated with
10 United Fuel Supply but I'm not 100 percent sure.

00:17:19

11 Q. Now Levon Termendzhyan was not there, correct?

12 A. No, he was not.

13 Q. And at some point previously did you mistakenly
14 think that he had been there?

00:17:32

15 A. I did.

16 Q. And how did you realize that he wasn't there?

17 A. I had several photos and e-mails and
18 correspondences that had come back and forth when I
19 was originally interviewed by the EPA agents that I
20 had not had a chance to review. The phone call came
21 a little bit out of the blue and I had already been
22 removed from the former companies that I worked for
23 for over a year and my memory was fuzzy at best.

00:17:46

24 Q. After looking at some photos, for example, did
25 you then remember that Lev Termendzhyan was not

00:18:04

1 present at that February 2012 meeting when you first
2 met Jacob Kingston in Orlando?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Now, what was the point of talking to Jacob
5 Kingston at that meeting?

6 A. So in February of 2012, our scheme that we had
7 going with E-Biofuels was collapsed for the most
8 part. Um, E-Biofuels at this point rumors had
9 started to spread in the industry that they were

10 fraudulently producing biodiesel and so their
11 customers were no longer purchasing from them and we
12 were, by we I mean myself and Joseph Furando and our
13 companies, we were holding millions of gallons worth
14 of fuel in a fuel terminal that we were responsible
15 for. We had put the money up in advance and prepaid
16 for it in order to be able to guarantee that we would
17 have this for our customer.

18 And so at this point we were looking for
19 another customer that might be able to do something
20 similar to what we were doing with E-Biofuels. And
21 there had been some rumors that had gone around in
22 the industry that Washakie might be a possible match
23 for us.

24 Q. What do you mean by Washakie being a possible
25 match for you?

1 A. That they might be a good option for us to
2 re-start the scheme that we had been doing with
3 E-Biofuels, the alchemy scheme.

00:19:33

4 Q. Did you think they might be a good option to
5 fraudulently file for the tax credits and the RINs?

6 A. Yes.

7 Q. And what was the exact type of fuel that you
8 prepaid for?

9 A. We prepaid for B99 RINless biodiesel.

00:19:47

10 Q. And that would be the fuel that tax credits and
11 RINs had already been claimed on?

12 A. That is correct.

13 Q. Now why didn't you just sell the fuel as a
14 commodity to somebody who wanted RINless B99?

00:20:00

15 A. That would have been an option however you would
16 have only been looking at making a penny, maybe two
17 at best as far as profit is concerned. And for Joe
18 Furando, that was not what he wanted to do. There
19 was a certain lifestyle and a certain --

00:20:19

20 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, nonresponsive.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, the witness --

22 THE COURT: Re-ask the question.

00:20:30

23 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Yes, Your Honor. Was Joe
24 Furando, to your knowledge, willing to sell this
25 RINless B99 just as a commodity to a customer who

1 wanted to buy RINless B99?

2 A. No, he was not.

3 Q. Did he want to make a very large profit on it?

4 A. Yes, he did.

00:20:41 5 Q. And what was the one way to make a very large
6 profit on RINless B99?

7 A. It was to recertify or do the alchemy scheme
8 which would be to send the B99 to a biodiesel plant
9 in order to allow them to claim that they had

00:20:56 10 produced it and take the tax credit and the RINs.

11 Q. And when you first approached Jacob Kingston,
12 were you attempting to determine whether he and his
13 company would be willing to recertify this RINless
14 B99 that you had to sell?

00:21:10 15 A. Yes.

16 Q. Please describe your first conversations with
17 Jacob Kingston?

18 A. The first conversations that we had with him were
19 is a hey, how are you, what are you doing, who are
00:21:23 20 your customers what type of needs do you have. Um,
21 where would you like to supply, where can you take
22 supply from, just feeling him out to see what his
23 interest was.

24 Q. Were you trying to just get a sense of whether or
00:21:40 25 not it was a possibility that he would be willing to

1 do this with you?

2 A. Yes. We were trying to confirm some of the
3 rumors that we had heard in the industry.

4 Q. And so what happened next as part of your attempt
5 to get Washakie Renewable Energy into the scheme to
6 replace E-Biofuels?

7 A. I can't recall specifically all of the details
8 between the time period, however I do know --

9 MR. GERAGOS: Objection. Nonresponsive after
10 I can't remember all of the details.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I believe she is
12 about to answer the question.

13 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

14 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor. As I
15 was saying, I can't recall the specific details of
16 how it came about. However, there were conversations
17 that took place between Joseph Furando, Jacob
18 Kingston that ultimately led to us having a meeting
19 in March of 2012 with Levon.

20 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Is it your understanding or --
21 let me rephrase. At some point did Jacob Kingston
22 tell you that you needed to talk to Levon
23 Termendzhyan?

24 A. Yes, he did.

25 Q. And ultimately was a meeting scheduled with Levon

1 Termendzhyan?

2 A. Yes, it was.

3 Q. Roughly when was that meeting?

4 A. I believe it was approximately March 24th of
5 2012.

6 Q. And have you at times remembered that it might be
7 April but later confirmed, based on photos, that it
8 was March 24th and 25th of 2012?

9 A. Yes, that is correct.

10 Q. Where did this meeting take place?

11 A. It took place in Miami, Florida in South Beach.

12 Q. Did you fly there?

13 A. We did.

14 Q. Who were you with?

15 A. I was with Joseph Furando.

16 Q. Where were you staying?

17 A. We stayed at the Eden Roc Hotel.

18 Q. Where was Levon Termendzhyan staying?

19 A. The Fontainebleau which was right next door.

20 Q. And did you ultimately meet Levon Termendzhyan at
21 the Fontainebleau?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Now, do you see Levon Termendzhyan in court
24 today?

25 A. I do.

1 Q. Can you please identify him?

2 A. He is the gentleman sitting in the I believe it
3 is a yellow jumpsuit.

4 MS. GOEMAAT: Let the record reflect that

00:23:50

5 Ms. Pattison has identified defendant Lev Dermen AKA
6 Levon Termendzhyan.

7 THE COURT: It will.

8 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Please describe your first
9 encounter with Levon Termendzhyan in South Beach,
10 Florida?

00:24:01

11 A. We met with Levon at the hotel. He was at the
12 Fontainebleau and it was myself and Joseph Furando
13 and I cannot recall whether we were talking through
14 Jacob or who we were texting or having phone calls
15 with, but we ultimately wound up walking out into the
16 valet carport-type area where the vehicles pull up to
17 drop guests off. And Levon and his family and
18 several other members pulled up in a few luxury
19 vehicles.

00:24:16

20 Q. Now, what was the first thing that you did with
21 Levon Termendzhyan?

00:24:34

22 A. We walked over to him and shook his hand,
23 introduced ourselves to him since we had never met
24 him, and then we went and proceeded inside into the
25 lobby to go and have a drink at the hotel bar.

00:24:47

1 Q. Now, this was your first encounter ever with
2 Levon Termendzhyan?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. Did he make a strong first impression on you?

00:24:56 5 A. He did.

6 Q. Can you describe why?

7 A. So we went and sat down at the bar and Joe I
8 believe he ordered it was some form of a scotch and
9 he was looking at the menu and Levon had told him
00:25:11 10 that that wasn't good enough and he ordered him a
11 50-year old glass of scotch. And I don't know much
12 about alcohol, but I do know that that is quite
13 expensive.

14 Q. Do you know how much --

00:25:25 15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, nonresponsive. No
16 foundation. Irrelevant.

17 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

18 THE COURT: Go ahead.

19 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Based on sitting at the bar with
00:25:33 20 Mr. Furando and Mr. Levon Termendzhyan and the
21 ordering of this scotch, do you know roughly how much
22 it cost?

23 A. I believe it was about \$1,500.

24 Q. Did anything else happen in that moment that made
00:25:47 25 a strong impression on you?

1 A. Well, the waitress who --

2 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

3 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor. This witness

4 is going to testify to the relationship that she

00:25:55 5 ultimately perceived between Jacob Kingston and Levon

6 Termendzhyan which is very relevant to the pending

7 conspiracy charges. And much of the basis of her

8 understanding is based on these experiences that she

9 had with Mr. Termendzhyan when she first met him

00:26:10 10 where she saw for the first time an incredible amount

11 of wealth and confidence and essentially leadership.

12 That is what she is --

13 THE COURT: Objection is overruled.

14 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) What happened next,

00:26:22 15 Ms. Pattison?

16 A. So the waitress who was serving us she had a bit

17 of an attitude. She acted like she couldn't care to

18 be there and that made Levon very offended. He made

19 a comment about how she should be treating him with

00:26:38 20 respect. And so we went ahead and we finished our

21 drink and Levon pulled out a series of \$100 bills and

22 called the waitress over, threw them down on the

23 table and looked at her and said next time you will

24 remember me and said let's go and we proceeded to

00:26:54 25 dinner.

1 Q. And that was your first impression of
2 Mr. Termendzhyan?

3 A. Yes.

4 Q. And what was your -- what was your impression of
5 him at that point?

6 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

7 THE COURT: Overruled.

8 THE WITNESS: I had never seen that type of
9 behavior before. I quite frankly was shocked and
10 impressed. It was a very powerful move.

11 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Where did you go from the bar
12 with the \$1,500 shot?

13 A. We proceeded to a restaurant, I cannot recall
14 whether we had walked or driven. It had been a long
15 day of travel and out in the heat but we wound up
16 ultimately at an Italian restaurant that was along
17 the South Beach stretch.

18 Q. And who was present that you can recall at this
19 dinner?

20 A. It was myself, Levon, Joseph Furando and several
21 other members of his family and his, I guess,
22 bodyguard team.

23 Q. So he brought a team of bodyguards with him?

24 A. Yes.

25 Q. What did you guys talk about at the dinner?

1 A. Levon was very insistent about not discussing
2 business. He wanted to have conversations more about
3 who we were and get to know us a little bit better.
4 But Joseph Furando is the type to be very insistent.
00:28:12 5 And so after about, I don't know, maybe halfway
6 through the meal, two-thirds of the way through the
7 meal Joseph Furando was sitting next to Levon and
8 they finally -- he was finally able to get him to
9 start discussing some business.

00:28:28 10 Q. What was the nature of the discussion about
11 business?

12 A. The nature of that discussion was what Joseph
13 Furando had proposed to Jacob originally which was to
14 use Washakie in order to recertify fuel and have them
00:28:43 15 then sell it to Levon.

16 Q. Was it an explicit discussion about taking the
17 B99 that your company had prepaid for and
18 recertifying it through Washakie?

19 A. Yes.

00:28:56 20 Q. And did you discuss what would happen to the fuel
21 once it was recertified through Washakie?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. What was that?

24 A. We had explained that Washakie would go ahead and
00:29:06 25 say that they had produced the fuel even though it

1 was already B99 with the RINs removed from it and the
2 dollar tax credit removed from it and that would then
3 make it eligible for claiming the dollar tax credit
4 and RINs again even though that is illegal.

00:29:21 5 Q. And then who would Washakie sell the fuel to?

6 A. They would then in turn sell it to Levon.

7 Q. Did Levon Termendzhyan have a specific price that
8 he wanted to buy this fuel at?

9 A. I distinctly recall every time we discussed
00:29:34 10 pricing that it always had to be 60 cents under
11 heating oil.

12 Q. What does it mean for something to be under
13 heating oil?

14 A. So heating oil is the commodity that was used to
00:29:43 15 price biodiesel. It's the closest commodity that
16 they could use at the time. I am not sure if that
17 has changed since then. And to be 60 cents under
18 heating oil, um, for example, if heating oil was \$2
19 it would need to be 60 cents under that so it would
00:29:59 20 be a dollar forty delivered into California.

21 Q. Now, based on your experience during your time in
22 the biodiesel industry, would it be possible to sell
23 biodiesel at HO minus 60 into California?

24 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, nonresponsive. I
00:30:15 25 mean sorry no foundation. She hasn't been qualified

1 as an expert in any way, shape, or form to give an
2 opinion.

3 THE COURT: Do you have additional foundation
4 you can lay?

00:30:24 5 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor.

6 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) As the chief operating officer
7 of Cima Green and Caravan Trading, did you sell B99?

8 A. Yes, I did.

9 Q. Did you sell B99 to a number of companies?

00:30:35 10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Were you responsible for negotiating the purchase
12 price of the B99 from other companies?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. Were you responsible for negotiating the sale
00:30:43 15 price of B99 to companies?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. And what would you use to negotiate these prices?

18 A. We would use the Chicago Board of Trade Heating
19 Oil pricing.

00:30:53 20 Q. So you would use this heating oil market index
21 and would you price it out in relation to the heating
22 oil index?

23 A. Yes, we did.

24 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I submit this
00:31:02 25 witness has a basis of knowledge to testify as to

1 general pricing of the commodity that she regularly
2 bought and sold during the course of her employment.

3 MR. GERAGOS: It is not -- there is no
4 expertise. The question was in California and not a
00:31:13 5 single thing that she just asked related to
6 California.

7 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll lay additional foundation.

8 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) When you sell biodiesel,
9 depending on the way in which you sell it, do you
00:31:22 10 also need to insure that the fuel gets to where
11 you're selling it?

12 A. Yes.

13 Q. So shipping essentially?

14 A. Yes.

00:31:28 15 Q. And there is a number of different ways to ship
16 fuel, correct?

17 A. Yes. You can ship it via truck, railcar, barge.

18 Q. You were sometimes responsible for setting up and
19 paying for the transportation of the fuel?

00:31:40 20 A. Yes.

21 Q. So based on your experience at Cima Green and
22 Caravan Trading, do you know generally what it would
23 cost to say ship fuel to California from Texas versus
24 somewhere else in Texas?

00:31:53 25 A. Yes.

1 Q. And is it more expensive?

2 A. Yes.

3 Q. And would that figure into the price that you're
4 able to offer to a potential customer based on where
5 they need the fuel?

6 A. Yes. It's one of the reasons why we opted to
7 most of the time not include delivery because it was
8 fluctuating all of the time and we were not
9 comfortable with that.

00:32:13 10 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I submit that this
11 is not even remotely expert testimony. As away of
12 example, a cashier is not an expert in the cost of a
13 bag of chips. And this witness is perfectly
14 competent to testify as to the day in and day out
00:32:28 15 work of pricing biodiesel and shipping biodiesel
16 which she did as part of her job.

17 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos?

18 MR. GERAGOS: There is no foundation for it,
19 none whatsoever. She just said --

00:32:37 20 THE COURT: I am going to overrule the
21 objection.

22 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) My question, Ms. Pattison, was,
24 based on your experience would you have been able to
00:32:46 25 sell B99, RINless B99 at HO minus 60, 60 under

1 heating oil, all the way to California?

2 A. No.

3 Q. So based on the conversation what was the plan
4 that was discussed in order to make sure that Levon
5 Termendzhyan could get this fuel at HO minus 60?

6 A. The plan was to use Washakie as a front or a
7 biodiesel facility that could take our B99 RINless
8 that Cima Green would label as feedstock or raw
9 materials, and then Washakie would in turn claim that
10 they had produced it and then go ahead and take the
11 dollar tax credit and the RINs and then allow Levon
12 to purchase it from him and it would be delivered to
13 California.

14 Q. And so based on your previous testimony, Washakie
15 fraudulently claiming tax credits and RINs on this
16 fuel would give them up to as much as a \$3.25 cent
17 extra profit?

18 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

19 THE COURT: Sustained.

20 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) I'll rephrase. Thank you, Your
21 Honor. Based on this process, would there be an
22 extra profit?

23 A. Yes, there would be. They would be eligible for
24 the dollar tax credit which is a dollar and whatever
25 the value of the RINs were at the time which, for

1 example, if they were a dollar they would be eligible
2 for an extra dollar fifty so that would make \$2.50
3 cents.

4 Q. And how, if at all, would this figure into the
5 pricing from Washakie to Levon Termendzhyan?

6 A. It would allow Washakie to be able to sell to
7 Levon at the heating oil price that he was looking
8 for, the 60 cents under heating oil, and for
9 everybody to still make a significant profit.

10 Q. And is it your recollection that you were
11 explicitly discussing this fraudulent recertification
12 process at this dinner?

13 A. Yes.

14 Q. And did you observe Levon Termendzhyan object to
15 this proposal?

16 A. No.

17 Q. What did you observe?

18 A. Honestly nothing. He seemed perfectly fine in
19 just continuing to engage in conversation. There
20 were not very many questions which ultimately
21 confirmed what we had suspected that Washakie was
22 involved in some sort of re-certifying scheme.

23 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, motion to strike.
24 Speculation as to what she ultimately suspected in
25 not many questions. It is complete speculation.

1 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, she is testifying I
2 believe to her own opinion which is relevant because
3 they were seeking out these specific parties with the
4 intent to do fraud. We can ask the witness to
00:35:26 5 rephrase. I don't believe the witness was testifying
6 that Washakie had committed fraud, but that they had
7 believed they might be and this meeting confirmed
8 that that was probably so in their minds.

9 THE COURT: Re-ask the question.

00:35:38 10 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor.

11 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) I'm going to slightly rephrase
12 the question. You previously testified that you
13 sought out Jacob Kingston at that first meeting
14 because you had heard rumors and you were looking for
00:35:49 15 someone who could take the place of E-Biofuels?

16 A. Yes, that is correct.

17 Q. And did your opinion change after this dinner at
18 the Italian restaurant with Levon Termendzhyan in
19 South Beach?

00:35:59 20 A. No, it did not.

21 Q. Why is that?

22 A. Because there was no objection, no being upset,
23 no, oh my gosh that is illegal what are you doing
24 type of reaction.

00:36:10 25 Q. Now, Ms. Pattison, where is Jacob Kingston in

1 this conversation? Is he at the end of the table?

2 A. No, he was not present.

3 Q. How can you sit there with Joseph Furando and

4 Levon Termendzhyan and make a plan about Washakie

00:36:24

5 fraudulently claiming these RINs and tax credits

6 without Jacob Kingston the owner of Washakie present?

7 A. From our interactions with Jacob Kingston it

8 seemed like Jacob Kingston and Levon --

9 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, no foundation.

00:36:40

10 Calls for speculation.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

12 THE COURT: Go ahead.

13 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) What was your belief about who

14 needed to authorize this transaction?

00:36:48

15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, no foundation. Her

16 belief is irrelevant.

17 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, her belief is

18 absolutely relevant because she is in the midst of

19 entering into a fraudulent conspiracy with these

00:36:59

20 parties.

21 THE COURT: Overruled.

22 MR. GERAGOS: Judge Parrish has not ruled on

23 the co-conspirator statements so I would like this to

24 be noted at this point.

00:37:06

25 THE COURT: It will be.

1 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor. But I will
2 submit that the witness's belief is not a statement
3 for the record.

4 MR. GERAGOS: Right and that makes it even
5 more irrelevant but the court is --

6 THE COURT: Go ahead.

7 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Ms. Pattison, why did you
8 believe that it was okay to sit at this table and
9 make a plan with Levon Termendzhyan as to what fraud
10 Jacob Kingston was going to commit with his company?

11 A. We believed that Jacob Kingston and Levon were
12 working hand-in-hand, that they were partners and
13 that Levon was -- I'm not sure how to phrase this in
14 an appropriate way.

15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, as this started with
16 we believed which is improper. And second of all,
17 she is not sure how to phrase it which goes back to
18 what the original objection was is that it is
19 speculation.

20 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I understand that
21 Mr. Geragos does not want this testimony to come out.
22 We can ask the witness to please focus on her belief.
23 I believe she is referring to her co-conspirator and
24 partner in crime, Joseph Furando. I'll ask her to
25 testify specifically to her belief. I would ask the

1 witness be allowed to answer the question.

2 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

3 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Ms. Pattison, what did you

4 believe -- what was in your mind and your mind alone

00:38:20 5 such that it was okay to sit at this table with Levon

6 Termendzhyan to make a plan for Jacob Kingston to

7 commit fraud?

8 A. I believed that Levon and Jacob were partners and

9 I believed that Levon was in charge of all of the

00:38:37 10 decisions related to Washakie, his organization

11 United Fuel Supply and whatever organizations that

12 Levon had at the time.

13 Q. And was that based on your interactions and

14 conversations with Jacob Kingston and Levon

00:38:52 15 Termendzhyan up to that point?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Now, do you recall what you did the next day in

18 South Beach?

19 A. The next day we met Levon at I believe it was a

00:39:03 20 place called Nikki's Beach in South Beach.

21 Q. Did you take a photo while you were there?

22 A. I did.

23 Q. I would like you to turn to the first tab in your

24 binder, Tab 1, this is marked as Government

00:39:16 25 Exhibit 4-1. What is this?

1 A. This is the photo that I took at Nikki's Beach in
2 Miami, Florida.

3 Q. Is this an accurate depiction of what you
4 observed that day?

00:39:28

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Nikki's Beach?

7 A. Yes.

8 Q. And on the far right hand side, who is that?

00:39:37

9 A. That is Joseph Furando wearing the white and red
10 Ferrari shirt.

11 Q. And is Mr. Termendzhyan in this photo?

12 A. Yes, he is. He is the gentleman not wearing a
13 shirt in the white shorts and black belt.

00:39:48

14 Q. And what exactly were you doing at Nikki's Beach
15 the next day?

16 A. It was a celebration of a new business
17 relationship.

18 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I move to admit
19 Government Exhibit 4-1.

00:40:00

20 THE COURT: Any objection?

21 MR. GERAGOS: Yes. I object that it's
22 irrelevant.

23 THE COURT: Overruled.

00:40:07

24 (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit 4-1
25 was received into evidence.)

1 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Was that the end of your South
2 Beach trip?

3 A. I believe we flew out the next day.

4 Q. And where did you go?

00:40:13 5 A. Back to New Jersey.

6 Q. And then where did you go?

7 A. To Calgary, Canada.

8 Q. Why?

9 A. So as I mentioned previously, our supplier Astra
00:40:23 10 Oil is located in Calgary, Canada. And we, Joseph

11 Furando and I, were -- we had several million gallons
12 worth of biodiesel fuel that Astra Oil was holding in
13 their tanks. And by this point it's late March and
14 we were supposed to be consistently taking fuel out
00:40:43 15 of those tanks and we had not been and we were
16 receiving significant pressure from Astra Oil to get
17 the product moving.

18 Q. So what was the purpose of going all the way to
19 Calgary?

00:40:53 20 A. We wanted to go there face-to-face and say don't
21 worry, we have a new business partner, we're going to
22 get the fuel out of there. And basically just
23 reassure them that we were back in business.

24 Q. Were you somewhat relieved to have entered into
00:41:07 25 this agreement so you could move that fuel?

1 A. Very much so.

2 Q. Now, where did you go from Calgary, Canada?

3 A. We flew from Calgary to Los Angeles, California.

4 Q. Was it you or was it you and Joseph Furando?

00:41:20 5 A. Joseph Furando was not able to join myself and
6 three other business colleagues on that trip. He had
7 a -- it was a medical procedure that prevented him
8 from traveling.

9 Q. Why did you go to Los Angeles?

00:41:31 10 A. To meet with Levon.

11 Q. How many days after the South Beach trip was
12 this?

13 A. It was three or four, I believe.

14 Q. And do you remember who told you you needed to
00:41:43 15 come to LA to meet with Levon?

16 A. I cannot recall specifically. It was either
17 Jacob or Levon.

18 Q. And what happened when you landed in Los Angeles?

19 A. We were picked up at the airport by Levon's son
00:41:56 20 George and I believe it was his nephew also named
21 George.

22 Q. Anything memorable happen?

23 A. He had some very nice cars. It was a Bentley and
24 a Rolls Royce.

00:42:06 25 Q. Where did they take you in the Bentley and the

1 Rolls Royce?

2 A. They took myself and my three colleagues to
3 Levon's offices.

4 Q. Can you describe Levon Termendzhyan's offices?

00:42:16

5 A. I was unimpressed. They were -- it's a trailer
6 slash mobile home type building is what it looked
7 like to me. It had a wooden ramp leading up to it as
8 well as yellow siding.

9 Q. What happened when you went inside of the
10 trailer?

00:42:36

11 A. Same thing, still just not very impressive. I
12 expected something more. There was a receptionist
13 sitting at the front, there were several other
14 gentlemen just standing around, and then we were
15 brought into another room that I believe to be
16 Levon's office and that's where I saw Levon and his
17 personality come to life.

00:42:49

18 Q. How so?

19 A. The furniture in the office was Lamborghini
20 furniture, it was emblazoned with the logos. There
21 was a tremendous spread of food laid out on the
22 table, lots of fruit. I believe there was also a
23 bottle of Tequila there and there was Levon's desk
24 and several other people.

00:43:04

25 Q. And who was there in that meeting?

00:43:19

1 A. It was myself, Levon, three of my business
2 colleagues, I don't know if you want me to name them.

3 Q. If you can recall their names?

4 A. I believe it was Grace Conte, Casey Houser, and I
5 want to say Lou Deery. I'm not 100 percent sure if
6 it was Lou Deery or Ryan Davis who was with me on
7 that trip.

8 Q. Now at this morning meeting in the office
9 trailer, do you remember whether Jacob Kingston was
10 present.

11 A. I honestly do not recall whether he was present
12 or not.

13 Q. And what was the point of this morning meeting
14 with the spread of food and the bottle of Tequila?
15 What did you discuss?

16 A. We were there to discuss the further details of
17 sending the biodiesel to Washakie in order to have it
18 be processed by them and in turn be shipped out to
19 California.

20 Q. Were there any other further details about how
21 that was going to work? Did you hammer out dates yet
22 or prices?

23 A. Not that I can recall.

24 Q. What did you do after the meeting in the trailer?

25 A. Levon took us on a tour of his holdings, his

1 properties that he had. One place that we went was a
2 nonfunctioning biodiesel plant that Levon owned. It
3 was a building and outside was some equipment and he
4 said to us that it was not working. I don't recall
00:44:40 5 whether I asked him why it wasn't working or not and
6 then he, I believe, took us to a fuel terminal slash
7 truck stop where he pulled up to the fuel pumps and
8 he removed one of the fuel pumps and said, "this is
9 where your fuel will be delivered to my customers."

00:44:59 10 And at that point he began to explain how at
11 some times during the year he was up-blending which
12 means he is adding more biodiesel into the diesel
13 fuel in order to at some points be as high as
14 50 percent biodiesel and 50 percent diesel fuel.

00:45:15 15 Q. Did he tell you why he was doing that?

16 A. In order to make a better profit on the diesel
17 fuel. Biodiesel when you purchased it correctly was
18 cheaper than diesel fuel at that time.

19 Q. And so if you put more biodiesel into the fuel
00:45:31 20 that you're selling, then your cost of goods sold
21 would be lower?

22 A. Yes.

23 Q. Okay. Did you go back to the trailer office
24 after the tour of Mr. Termendzhyan's holdings?

00:45:43 25 A. Yes, we did.

1 Q. What happened when you got to the trailer?

2 A. Well, when we got back the spread of food had

3 been changed to like a lunch-type setting. And there

4 was -- Levon was in and out meeting with a couple

00:45:58 5 other people. We met with another gentleman by the

6 name of I believe it was Dan McDire and if I recall

7 correctly, he worked for United Fuel Supply, and just

8 had a general conversation with him about what else

9 we could supply. And at one point, um, Levon had

00:46:14 10 gone outside and he had a, I believe it was a GT500,

11 and started doing doughnuts in the parking lot.

12 Q. Do you know why?

13 MR. GERAGOS: Relevance.

14 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll establish the relevance,

00:46:27 15 Your Honor.

16 THE COURT: Overruled.

17 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you.

18 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Did you take a picture shortly

19 thereafter?

00:46:33 20 A. I did.

21 Q. I would like you to turn to the second tab in

22 your binder. And for the record, this is government

23 -- marked for identification purposes as Government

24 Exhibit 4-2. Is this a photo that you took right

00:46:46 25 after the doughnuts in the parking lot?

1 A. Yes, it was.

2 Q. And can you describe what is in this photo?

3 A. In this photo is Levon Termendzhyan, his back is
4 to the camera, he is in all black. The other two
00:46:58 5 gentlemen in all black are his bodyguards. And then
6 the gentleman in the blue shirt with the black pants
7 looking to the right, that is Jacob Kingston.

8 Q. How do you know, based on this photo, that you
9 took it shortly after he did the doughnuts in the
00:47:12 10 parking lot?

11 A. Because you can see the tire tracks in the
12 parking lot.

13 Q. Now, does this photo accurately depict what you
14 observed that day?

00:47:18 15 A. Yes, it does.

16 Q. And there is something new here, there is Jacob
17 Kingston?

18 A. Yes.

19 Q. And do you recall at what point Jacob Kingston
00:47:27 20 joined you because he is clearly in this photo?

21 A. I honestly do not know and it wasn't until I
22 found this photo that I -- that I knew Jacob Kingston
23 had been in California. To my memory, it just never
24 stuck out that he was there.

00:47:41 25 Q. And so this photo reminded you that at some point

1 during that day he was present?

2 A. Yes. Because I took that picture so he had to be
3 there because he is in the photo.

4 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I move to admit
5 Government's Exhibit 4-2.

6 THE COURT: Any objection?

7 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

8 THE COURT: Overruled. It will be admitted.

9 (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit 4-2 was
10 received into evidence.)

11 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Now after the doughnuts in the
12 parking lot, was anything happening with your
13 co-defendant and co-conspirator Joseph Furando?

14 A. Yes. Joseph Furando is the type of person that I
15 refer to as a micro-manager. He needs to know
16 everything that is going on at every given point, no
17 matter what you're doing. And if you don't answer
18 him, he is very unhappy. So he had been texting and
19 calling my cell phone throughout the day asking where
20 are we, how many railcars, have you figured out
21 pricing, when are they going to start to pull the
22 fuel. And I was tired, I was hot, I had been
23 traveling all over the country and I, in a very
24 non-polite way, told Mr. Furando "FU, talk to Levon
25 yourself." And so he instructed me to go ahead and

1 get Levon and get him on the phone.

2 So I proceeded inside and I spoke to Levon
3 and I asked him if he would speak with Joseph
4 Furando. He agreed and we walked outside. There was
00:49:03 5 a -- I don't even know how to describe it, it was a
6 shed with a wooden bench just outside of his offices.
7 I don't even think there was a door. And we sat in
8 there and had a conversation. It was myself, Joseph
9 Furando and Levon. Joseph Furando was on the phone
00:49:19 10 and it was on speaker phone.

11 Q. What did you discuss?

12 A. We discussed the specific details regarding
13 getting product to Washakie. At that point Levon had
14 agreed to do a small test run with I believe it was
00:49:32 15 approximately six railcars of biodiesel that would be
16 taken from the facility where we had it in Illinois,
17 have it shipped to Utah to Washakie and then
18 ultimately Levon would purchase it and it would be
19 delivered into California.

00:49:46 20 Q. Did you discuss terms of payment?

21 A. We did. Um, Joseph Furando insisted that every
22 single customer that we had prior to Levon pre-pay
23 for the material. So if they wanted ten gallons of
24 fuel biodiesel they needed to pay for ten gallons of
00:50:04 25 biodiesel. And if they only picked up nine, then we

1 would adjust it at the end.

2 He was not interested in giving credit terms
3 to anybody. However, at this point we were with our
4 backs against a wall. We had been sitting on this
00:50:20 5 material for -- the biodiesel for almost four months
6 and we were losing money every single day. And so he
7 agreed to give Levon credit terms of I believe it was
8 20 days.

9 Q. Where is Jacob Kingston in this phone call?

00:50:37 10 A. He was not present.

11 Q. So you're discussing six railcars to go from
12 essentially your holding facility in Illinois to
13 Jacob Kingston's plant in Utah?

14 A. Yes.

00:50:49 15 Q. Where the railcars will be recertified?

16 A. Yes.

17 Q. Was there explicit discussion that Jacob Kingston
18 would fraudulently claim the tax credits in the RINS
19 and recertify this B99?

00:51:01 20 A. Yes.

21 Q. But Jacob Kingston was not present?

22 A. No.

23 Q. And then was there explicit discussion that this
24 RINless B99 that was always RINless B99 would be sent
00:51:12 25 from the Utah Washakie plant to Levon Termendzhyan?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Was it odd to you that Jacob Kingston wasn't
3 present?

4 A. Again, no, because it was my belief that Jacob
5 and Levon were involved in this and it did not matter
6 what Jacob thought. As long as Levon was okay with
7 it everything was fine.

8 Q. In this phone call did you finally finalize the
9 specifics of this plan that you had been attempting
10 to finalize over the course of these various
11 meetings?

12 A. Almost. We did not -- we did not set a price and
13 we did not set a date. So all we had at this point
14 was a quantity and an agreement that yes we would
15 start the process.

16 Q. And at what point during that whole day and phone
17 call do you remember talking to Jacob Kingston about
18 the specific plan?

19 A. I do not recall talking to him at all.

20 Q. Approximately how long do you think this call
21 lasted?

22 A. 30 minutes or so.

23 Q. What did you do afterwards?

24 A. Afterwards we went to dinner.

25 Q. Did you go straight from the trailer?

1 A. Yes.

2 Q. Did you go back to the hotel and change?

3 A. No.

4 Q. Were you tired at that point?

00:52:27 5 A. I was exhausted.

6 Q. Would you have liked to have gone back to the
7 hotel and change your clothes?

8 A. Very much so.

9 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

00:52:34 10 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain that one.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Did you go to dinner directly
13 from the trailer?

14 A. Yes.

00:52:41 15 Q. And can you recall any details of getting to the
16 dinner and the dinner?

17 A. Um, myself and my other female colleague who was
18 with me we both changed at the trailer and we got
19 into vehicles. Myself and Levon rode in his -- in
00:52:58 20 Levon's Maybach. It was driven by his bodyguard.
21 And the other parties followed and I can't recall if
22 it was one or two vehicles. I believe it was two
23 though.

24 Q. Do you remember anything about the dinner itself?

00:53:11 25 A. I do. Um, Levon -- I was 26 years old at the

1 time. I had never been to LA. I wanted to go down
2 Rodeo Drive in the worst way. So he instructed his
3 driver to go down Rodeo Drive which made me very
4 happy. And then we proceeded to a restaurant that
00:53:32 5 was I believe it was like an Italian sushi-type
6 restaurant. There was a large boat of sushi that was
7 brought out to the table. We all sat down and began
8 to eat. And we were looking at the menu and I love
9 risotto and there was a truffle risotto --

00:53:47 10 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, if I may.

12 Ms. Pattison is about to testify, I proffer to you,
13 Ms. Pattison is going to testify about another very
14 expensive event that happened that was firmly
00:54:01 15 imprinted on her young mind and convinced her that
16 Mr. Termendzhyan had power and wealth. And this
17 plays into her role and Mr. Termendzhyan's role in
18 the conspiracy that they struck.

19 MR. GERAGOS: I'm mystified and maybe I'm
00:54:14 20 missing it as to how wealth or power is relevant.

21 THE COURT: I disagree. Overruled.

22 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Tell the court about the
23 risotto?

24 A. So there was a truffle risotto on the menu and it
00:54:28 25 came out. And one of the things that Levon noticed

1 was that there was not enough truffle on this
2 risotto. And for those of you who do not know,
3 truffle is a very expensive mushroom. There is two
4 different types, there is black and there is white,
00:54:41 5 and they're rare. And he called the waiter over and
6 told him to bring the truffle out.

7 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

8 THE COURT: Overruled.

9 THE WITNESS: And he had the waiter bring the
00:54:54 10 truffle out and told the waiter to shave more on to
11 the risotto. And he told him to continue going and
12 going and going until this truffle was gone. And I
13 am not an expert about truffles in no way, shape or
14 form, I do know that they're expensive.

00:55:10 15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, nonresponsive.
16 Irrelevant.

17 THE COURT: That -- I overruled for
18 relevance. Objection nonresponsive is sustained.

19 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Did this seem to you like a
00:55:23 20 demonstration of Mr. Termendzhyan's wealth?

21 A. Yes, it did.

22 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

23 THE COURT: Sustained.

24 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) What impact did this truffle
00:55:31 25 incident have on you and why?

1 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, irrelevant.

2 THE COURT: Overruled.

3 THE WITNESS: In my mind, this was another
4 example of Levon's wealth and his power and just the
5 ability to be able to do whatever he wanted.

00:55:46

6 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) And you previously mentioned
7 that the bodyguards drove you to the restaurant?

8 A. Yes.

9 Q. Were they at the dinner with you?

00:55:57

10 A. Yes, they were.

11 Q. Did you have any interaction with the bodyguards?

12 A. I did. At one point I needed to use the restroom
13 and Levon instructed one of the bodyguards to escort
14 me to the restroom for my own safety.

00:56:09

15 Q. Did this make a powerful impression on you?

16 A. I had never been escorted to the restroom by
17 anybody in my life. It was odd.

18 Q. Did it also feel kind of special?

19 A. I kind of felt like a celebrity.

00:56:26

20 Q. What exactly were you doing generally this entire
21 day? You flew in early in the morning, you have all
22 of these events, the day just seems like it goes from
23 event to event. What was your purpose in continuing
24 with these endless interactions not all of which seem
25 related to business?

00:56:41

1 A. No, a lot of them --

2 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, relevance.

3 THE COURT: Overruled.

4 THE WITNESS: A lot of them were not related

00:56:49

5 to business. The way that I was trained in the

6 corporate world by Joseph Furando was do whatever is

7 necessary in order to make the client happy. Do not

8 tell them no, do not upset them, do not question

9 them. Give them what they want in order to close the

00:57:10

10 deal. And that's what I was instructed to do was to

11 just comply and do what was ever asked of us and

12 that's what we did.

13 If Levon asked me to jump 15 feet in the air,

14 I certainly would try to jump 15 feet in the air in

00:57:25

15 order to make this deal happen.

16 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) And what was the deal that you
17 were trying so hard to close?

18 A. To get Washakie to purchase the fuel that we were

19 holding in Illinois and recertify it through Washakie

00:57:39

20 and then ultimately sell it to Levon and any other

21 customers that they had.

22 Q. Was that the end of your LA trip?

23 A. Yes. After the dinner wrapped up, it was

24 approximately, I want to say, about 2:00 in the

00:57:53

25 morning and we were finally dropped off back at our

1 hotel.

2 Q. What was your next encounter with Levon

3 Termendzhyan that you can recall?

4 A. It was a brief encounter in April of 2012. I

00:58:06 5 believe it was the end of April, approximately the

6 25th, I think, was the date.

7 Q. Where was it?

8 A. In Las Vegas.

9 Q. Did you go there?

00:58:15 10 A. Yes.

11 Q. Why?

12 A. We were asked to be there. It was Levon who had

13 said either be here or there is no deal.

14 Q. At this point had they pulled any fuel or sent

00:58:25 15 any railcars to pick up your fuel?

16 A. They had not.

17 Q. Were you getting kind of desperate?

18 A. Very much so.

19 Q. So you go to Vegas because it is go to Vegas deal

00:58:35 20 or no deal and what happens in Vegas?

21 A. There was a less than five-minute meeting in the
22 parking lot of the Wynn. Levon pulled up in a luxury

23 RV, stated that he was downstairs. I went with -- it

24 was myself and just a female who I had brought along

00:58:53 25 on the trip, she was not affiliated with the company,

1 and we went down, walked on the RV, said hello how
2 are you, shook hands, exchanged a few pleasantries
3 and that was it.

4 Q. Was Jacob Kingston there?

00:59:07 5 A. Not that I recall.

6 Q. Did you discuss any business?

7 A. No.

8 Q. What was the point of this trip?

9 A. In my mind it was again how far are you willing
00:59:16 10 to go to get this done.

11 Q. Now, I want to take a moment to clarify because
12 you actually have met with Levon Termendzhyan two
13 different times in Las Vegas, correct?

14 A. Yes, that is correct.

00:59:29 15 Q. And after this event that you have been
16 testifying to, did you meet with Jacob Kingston and
17 Levon Termendzhyan in February of 2013 in Las Vegas
18 nearly a year later?

19 A. Yes, that is correct.

00:59:42 20 Q. Can you briefly describe the purpose of that
21 meeting?

22 A. So in February of 2013 we had already completed
23 what deal we were doing with Washakie and we were out
24 in Las Vegas for the National Biodiesel Board
00:59:58 25 Convention. And at this point convention, we had

1 already been raided by the federal government for our
2 scheme in the biofuels but we were not indicted at
3 that point.

4 So we went to Las Vegas, like I said, for the
01:00:14 5 National Biodiesel Board Conference. I was sent to
6 go meet with Levon by Joseph Furando and just talk to
7 him and engage in a conversation with him and see
8 kind of where they were at. And Jacob Kingston went
9 up to Mr. Furando's hotel room and had a conversation
01:00:32 10 with him.

11 Q. Now we have fast forwarded a bit and we will go
12 back to where we were. But this second February 2013
13 Las Vegas meeting, was that also a later attempt to
14 try to get Levon Termendzhyan and Jacob Kingston
01:00:44 15 involved in this fraud with you?

16 A. Yes, it was.

17 Q. Okay. Dialling back to April 2012, you just
18 testified you had a five-minute meeting in a luxury
19 RV in the parking lot of the Wynn. Did you
01:00:57 20 personally have any other meetings with Jacob
21 Kingston or Levon Termendzhyan before these six
22 railcars were finally sent out?

23 A. No, I did not.

24 Q. Are you aware of whether or not Jacob Kingston
01:01:09 25 and Joseph Furando had a meeting in New Jersey?

1 A. Yes, I am aware of it. However, I was not
2 present at that meeting.

3 Q. Now, ultimately, you testified that you agreed to
4 do a test run of six railcars. What happened with
5 these six railcars?

6 A. So after the Las Vegas meeting, we finally were
7 able to have Washakie start sending their railcars to
8 Illinois. I believe it was the first or second week
9 of May, I can't recall the specific date, but they
10 did go ahead and start sending the railcars towards
11 Illinois.

12 Q. And that was to pick up your B99 RINless that you
13 had in Illinois?

14 A. Yes, that is correct.

15 Q. At that time in May who did you believe the
16 actual customer and end user would be?

17 A. I believed it was Levon.

18 Q. And where were these six railcars going to go
19 once they filled up with your B99?

20 A. They were going to return back to Washakie's
21 plant in Utah.

22 Q. And was that for the purpose of recertification?

23 A. Yes, it was.

24 Q. Now, this B99 that you were selling, where did
25 you source it from?

01:02:25 1 A. The B99 that we were selling we sourced it from
2 Astra Oil and it was being held in two different
3 locations. One was Kinder Morgan which is in Argo,
4 Illinois, and the other one, I believe, is referred
5 to -- I referred to it as Gilman but it is also known
6 as Incobrasa. I think it is I-N-C-O-B-R-A-S-A.

01:02:44 7 Q. We're going to look at a couple of the contracts.
8 If you can turn to the third tab in the binder this
9 is Government's Exhibit 4-3. There is an e-mail with
10 no content attaching a contract. Can you please
11 describe this contract?

01:03:01 12 A. Yes. So these are standard contracts that were
13 generated by Astra Oil who was the supplier that we
14 purchased the B99 RINless from. And in this contract
15 it --

16 Q. Let me stop you for a second.

17 A. Yes.

01:03:07 18 Q. Was this -- was this a record that you used to
19 memorialize the contract that you entered into with
20 Astra Oil relating to the purchase of the B99?

21 A. Yes, that is correct.

22 Q. Was this sent to you by this e-mail address for
23 your records?

24 A. Yes.

01:03:15 25 Q. That was your contract?

1 A. Yes, that is correct. It is my e-mail address
2 from Cima Green when I worked there.

3 MS. GOEMAAT: Move to admit Exhibit 4-3 as a
4 business record.

01:03:25 5 THE COURT: Any objection?

6 MR. GERAGOS: Could I have one moment, Your
7 Honor.

8 THE COURT: Yes.

9 THE WITNESS: Is it okay if I get a drink of
01:03:39 10 water, please?

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes. Please let the court know
12 if you need a break.

13 THE WITNESS: I will. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: We're going to break in about ten
01:03:46 15 minutes for lunch.

16 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 MR. GERAGOS: No objection.

18 THE COURT: It will be received.

19 (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit 4-3 was
01:04:00 20 received into evidence.)

21 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Now, according to this contract
22 what were you purchasing from Astra Oil on May 8th,
23 2012?

24 A. According to this contract, we were purchasing
01:04:11 25 625,000 gallons of B99.9 SME which is soy methyl

1 ester or a soy biodiesel.

2 Q. What price did you agree to pay?

3 A. The price in here is \$2.6834 cents per U.S.
4 gallon.

01:04:30

5 Q. I would like you to turn to the fourth tab in
6 your binder this is Government Exhibit 4-4. This is
7 also an e-mail attaching a contract. Is this
8 contract also the type of contracts you entered into
9 as part of your business?

01:04:43

10 A. Yes, that is correct.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Move to admit Government's
12 Exhibit 4-4 as a business record.

13 THE COURT: Any objection?

14 MR. GERAGOS: No objection.

01:04:59

15 THE COURT: It will be received.

16 (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit 4-4
17 was received into evidence.)

18 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Is this also a contract for
19 B99?

01:05:03

20 A. Yes, it is.

21 Q. But Ms. Pattison, do you need to see the
22 contracts in this case to know what you had purchased
23 from Astra?

24 A. No, I do not.

01:05:12

25 Q. Do you have any question in your mind that you

1 never purchased B100 from Astra Oil?

2 A. I do not have any question in my mind that we
3 only purchased B99. That's all we ever purchased
4 from them.

01:05:24 5 Q. And these prices, for example, on 4-4, it appears
6 that the price is \$2.17 a gallon?

7 A. Yes, that is correct.

8 Q. Is that, in your experience, a RINless B99 price?

9 A. Yes, it is.

01:05:38 10 Q. Now, it appears that at least based on these you
11 never sent these contracts to Levon Termendzhyan or
12 Jacob Kingston?

13 A. No, we would have never sent our contracts to
14 them.

01:05:53 15 Q. Based on your discussions planning this delivery,
16 do you have any question that Levon Termendzhyan and
17 Jacob Kingston were told by you or Joe Furando in
18 your presence that this was RINless B99?

19 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

01:06:08 20 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

21 THE COURT: Go ahead.

22 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) Did you ever tell Levon
23 Termendzhyan or Jacob Kingston that this was B100?

24 A. No, we never did.

01:06:17 25 Q. Did you ever tell them that it was feedstock?

1 A. No.

2 Q. Did you ever tell them that it was actually soy
3 blend oil or any other name for the raw materials
4 used to make feedstock?

01:06:26

5 A. No.

6 Q. What did you tell them that it was?

7 A. We told them that it was B99 RINless biodiesel
8 that we would label on our paperwork as feedstock.

01:06:40

9 Q. Now, these contracts relate to fuel that was held
10 at Kinder Morgan?

11 A. Yes, that is correct.

12 Q. You also provided some documents to the
13 government of fuel that was held at Incobrasa?

14 A. Yes.

01:06:46

15 Q. Incobrasa didn't sell you the fuel, correct?

16 A. No, they did not. It was just a terminal
17 facility.

18 Q. And some of those documents indicated that it was
19 B100. Was it B100?

01:06:57

20 A. No, it was not.

21 Q. Do you have an explanation as to why those
22 documents would say B100?

01:07:11

23 A. I do not have an explanation. The only thing
24 that I can understand is that it was a material
25 safety data sheet that was provided by Incobrasa and

1 B99 and B100 are so similar that for the MSDS
2 purposes it did not matter.

3 Q. For the purposes of safety precautions relating
4 to the material?

01:07:25

5 A. Yes.

6 Q. Now, did it matter to your fraud scheme whether
7 the terminal tank company called it B100 or B99?

8 A. They could have called it pixie dust and it
9 didn't matter.

01:07:36

10 Q. Because what you did was you put the fake name on
11 your invoices; is that correct?

12 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

13 THE COURT: Sustained.

01:07:44

14 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) What paperwork mattered for
15 purpose of your fraud scheme?

16 MR. GERAGOS: Objection. No foundation if
17 she is asking about the paperwork for the terminal.

01:07:53

18 MS. GOEMAAT: I'm not, Your Honor. I'm
19 asking what paperwork as generated by the company
20 controlled by Ms. Pattison and her co-defendant
21 Joseph Furando.

22 THE COURT: Overruled.

23 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) What paperwork that you created
24 was important for the fraud scheme?

01:08:02

25 A. It was what the paperwork that was generated by

1 Cima Green and Caravan Trading and submitted to
2 Washakie. That was the only paperwork that mattered.
3 Q. I would like you to turn to Tab 5 in your binder.
4 This is Government's Exhibit 4-5. You provided this
01:08:19 5 record to the United States. Before you read off of
6 this record, is this a record that you created as
7 part of your business to provide to Jacob Kingston
8 relating to three of these railcars?

9 A. Yes.

01:08:33 10 MS. GOEMAAT: Move to admit 4-5 as a business
11 record and alternatively if the court finds it is not
12 a business record as a co-conspirator statement
13 pursuant to 801(d)(2)(E).

14 MR. GERAGOS: It's not a business record,
01:08:46 15 there is an objection as to that, and it also hasn't
16 been ruled as to the second grounds purported. So we
17 have objections on both grounds.

18 THE COURT: Remind me of that rule.

19 MS. GOEMAAT: Pardon?

01:08:57 20 THE COURT: Remind me of the rule.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Well, the business record rule
22 allows generally written statements to be considered
23 non-hearsay if the record was made at or near the
24 time, by or from information transmitted by someone
01:09:12 25 with knowledge, it was kept in the course of

1 regularly conducted activity, it was a regular
2 practice. All of these conditions are shown by the
3 testimony of the custodian who is here on the stand,
4 Ms. Pattison, and neither the opponent showed that
5 the source of information shows a lack of
6 trustworthiness.

7 However, I will point out we're not
8 introducing it for the truth of the matter as it
9 wasn't actually soy blends, so I rephrase my request.
10 I would move to admit this not for the truth of the
11 matter asserted but merely for the fact that
12 Ms. Pattison created an invoice falsely claiming that
13 this material was soy blend.

14 MR. GERAGOS: Well, that's the problem. If
15 you're going to argue the business records rule
16 you're arguing that it is a legitimate record created
17 in the course and scope of business. Obviously they
18 aren't arguing that, they're arguing that it is not.
19 And they're also arguing that the only reason you
20 invoke the business records rule is to avoid hearsay.
21 So I am perplexed as to is it a fraud or is it the
22 truth or what is the purpose of those.

23 THE COURT: Ask that question.

24 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor. If we can
25 proceed with the witness and then re-address it.

1 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) This is an invoice that you
2 created; is that correct?

3 A. Yes, it is.

4 Q. And how did it describe the product?

01:10:26 5 A. It describes it as soy blend.

6 Q. Is that true or false?

7 A. It is false.

8 Q. How does it describe the payment terms?

9 A. It describes the payment terms as net 20 days.

01:10:34 10 Q. Now, does that truthfully summarize the agreement
11 that you came to with Levon Termendzhyan?

12 A. Yes, it does.

13 Q. And who is the buyer? Who is actually receiving
14 the invoice on this?

01:10:46 15 A. Washakie Renewable Energy.

16 Q. And is that the company that you actually thought
17 that you were selling to?

18 A. That is the company that we were selling the
19 material to, yes.

01:10:56 20 Q. But here you have invoiced in pounds. Now is
21 that legitimate based on what you know that you were
22 selling?

23 A. No.

01:11:08 24 Q. But this is -- this is a piece of paper that you
25 drafted for the purpose of securing payment from

1 Jacob Kingston and Washakie Renewable Energy as part
2 of this fraud scheme?

3 A. Yes.

4 MS. GOEMAAT: Now, Your Honor, if I may.

01:11:17

5 First I'll note the business records exception says
6 nothing about it being a legitimate document. But in
7 any event, I withdraw the request that we submit it
8 for that purpose as the witness will testify that she
9 was billing Washakie Renewable Energy which is the
10 truth. And the purpose of this document is to show
11 they were fraudulently listing it as soy blend which
12 was part and parcel of the fraud.

01:11:36

13 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos?

14 MR. GERAGOS: I have no idea what they're
15 doing. She has already established the facts that
16 she wants through the testimony so I don't -- I am
17 perplexed as to what it is that they want.

01:11:47

18 THE COURT: Well that's not really an
19 objection, perplexion, but I think that you have laid
20 an adequate foundation under the rules and I'll allow
21 it.

01:12:03

22 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 (Whereupon, Government's Exhibit 4-5
24 was received into evidence.)

01:12:09

25 Q. (By Ms. Goemaat) So turning again to this

1 invoice, you have billed the material to Washakie as
2 soy blend. Can you again tell the court why this
3 invoice says "soy blend" when you had testified that
4 you were selling RINless B99?

01:12:24

5 A. The reason why this document states that it is
6 soy blend is so that Washakie could in turn use this
7 document to show that they had purchased raw
8 materials or feedstock from us in order to be able to
9 produce B100 biodiesel which was not the case.

01:12:42

10 Q. So this name "soy blend" was part of the scheme?

11 A. Yes. We used the term soy blend. It was -- it
12 was a way for us to have an out if anything ever
13 happened. It was so that we could claim that we
14 didn't know what they were doing with the material

01:13:04

15 regardless of whether or not it was B99 or, sorry,
16 feedstock.

17 Q. But would it also allow Washakie Renewable Energy
18 to use it in some way?

01:13:18

19 A. Yes. They would be able to use this document as
20 proof that they had purchased raw materials from our
21 organization.

22 Q. One final question, why is this an invoice for
23 Sunbelt Ag Supply, a company we have not talked
24 about?

01:13:31

25 A. Sunbelt Ag Supply was nothing more than another

1 shell company that Joseph Furando had created.

2 Q. What was the point of yet another shell company?

3 A. He wanted to keep the transactions with Washakie
4 completely separate from Cima Green and Caravan
5 Trading.

01:13:49

6 Q. And returning to the invoice, as we previously
7 discussed, it is billed in pounds. Does that have
8 any meaning to you?

9 A. Yes. So when you purchase your feedstock or your
10 raw materials, it is billed in pounds. That is how
11 the industry standardized it. When you purchased
12 your fuel, it was always build in gallons.

01:13:59

13 Q. And finally, the payment terms are net 20 days.
14 And so based on the payment terms, when were you
15 expecting to be paid?

01:14:17

16 A. We would be expecting payment within 20 days of
17 Washakie receiving this invoice. I don't know what
18 that is on a calendar but --

19 Q. Why did you agree to such unfavorable terms?

20 A. Again, we were in a hard place. We were
21 desperate, we needed this fuel to start moving and
22 were willing to do anything that needed to be done in
23 order to get the fuel out of the tanks.

01:14:29

24 Q. Now this invoice is dated May 17, 2012.

25 A. Yes.

01:14:47

1 Q. Did anything happen a week later?

2 A. Yes. On May 24th of 2012, Caravan Trading
3 headquarters were raided by the FBI and the EPA and a
4 whole host of other agencies.

01:15:00

5 Q. It sounds like you have no difficulty remembering
6 that day.

7 A. It's not hard to forget something like that. You
8 don't ever forget agents coming to you and asking
9 questions and it was a very scary day.

01:15:16

10 THE COURT: Let's take our break now.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: All right. And we will be in
13 recess until 1:15.

14 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you.

01:15:29

15 THE COURT: Thank you.

16 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

17 (Lunch recess taken at 12:03 p.m.)

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1 (1:15 p.m.)

2 THE COURT: The record should reflect that all
3 parties are back in the courtroom and the witness has
4 retaken the witness stand.

5 Ms. Goemaat, you may go ahead.

6 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

7 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

8 Q Before we took a break, Ms. Pattison, you had just
9 looked at Exhibit 4-5, which is tab five in your binder, and
10 in this invoice, the material being sold to Washakie is
11 described as soy blend. Now what was this material
12 actually?

13 A The soy blend that we had listed in the invoice was
14 actually B99 soy based biodiesel.

15 Q And was fraudulently labeling this as soy blend part of
16 the original plan?

17 A Yes. That is correct.

18 Q And then remind the Court of the original plan here
19 calling this B99 soy blend.

20 A The original plan was that we, being myself, Joseph
21 Furando, and our companies, Cima Green and Caravan Trading,
22 would take the B99 soy based biodiesel, sell it to Washakie.
23 They would take that material to their facility and claim
24 that it was raw materials or feedstock, which is how it's
25 labeled in the invoice, and say that they had then produced

1 that fuel and turned it into B100, and then claimed the
2 dollar tax credit and the RINs on that material.

3 Q And then what was your understanding of what they would
4 then do with the B99 that you sent them?

5 A It was my understanding that they would then take that
6 material and sell it to Levon, and he would then sell it at
7 his facility in California.

8 Q But something happened in the middle of this plan,
9 correct?

10 A Yes. That is correct.

11 Q Right before the break, you testified that there were
12 federal search warrants on your company on May 24th, 2012;
13 is that correct?

14 A That is correct.

15 Q And did that pose a problem for this ongoing plan that
16 you had?

17 A A very large problem.

18 Q And what was the problem?

19 A All of our computers, and data, and cell phones, and
20 e-mails were seized by the federal government. Documents
21 were taken from our offices, and we were obviously caught in
22 some way, shape, or form. We were in trouble.

23 Q So among other things, did you change this invoice to
24 Washakie so that it no longer said soy blend?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Now I'd like to turn your attention to Exhibit 4-9,
2 which is tab nine in your binder. This is an e-mail that
3 you sent to Jacob Kingston copying Joe Furando, and it
4 attaches Union Pacific Railroad Company documents as well as
5 Sunbelt AG Supply records.

6 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I'd move to --

7 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

8 Q Is this, in fact, an e-mail you sent?

9 A Yes, it is.

10 Q And did you send these Union Pacific Railroad documents
11 and these new invoices as part of trying to essentially
12 cover up what you had done?

13 A Yes.

14 Q But it was also within --

15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

16 THE COURT: Sustained.

17 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

18 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

19 Q What was the purpose of this e-mail?

20 A The purpose of this e-mail was to provide the invoices
21 for the railcar freight that we had incurred having the
22 material be delivered to the Washakie facility as well as
23 the invoice that was generated under the Sunbelt Ag Supply
24 stating that this was actually biodiesel, not feedstock.

25 MS. GOEMAAT: Move to admit this as a

1 co-conspirator statement subject to 801(d)(2)(E).

2 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos.

3 MR. GERAGOS: I'm sorry, Your Honor. I'm looking
4 at one. I don't think it's the same one.

5 Do you have a Bates number stamp on it in the
6 bottom right?

7 THE COURT: I think it's tab nine.

8 MS. GOEMAAT: It's Exhibit 4-9.

9 MR. GERAGOS: Yeah, that's fine.

10 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4-9 was received into
12 evidence.)

13 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

14 Q Now I'd like you to turn to page ten of this exhibit.
15 Now page 10 looks somewhat like previous Exhibit 4-5 where
16 your company, Sunbelt Ag Supply, billed Washakie Renewable
17 Energy for soy blend oil, but it no longer says soy blend.
18 Now it just has a railcar. Can you explain why that change
19 was made?

20 A So the reason for this change was because at this point
21 we were under investigation by the Federal Bureau of
22 Investigation as well as the EPA, and so in order to protect
23 ourselves and not seeing that we were engaged in any more
24 fraud, we decided to just list the railcars and the quantity
25 that was involved and just sell it as fuel, as biodiesel.

1 Q Because you'd had this federal search warrant?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And was this federal search warrant, had it become
4 public?

5 A Yes. Within a matter of hours, it was on the news --
6 the local news in New Jersey, as well as it had been
7 published on a biodiesel newswire that's known as Opus.

8 Q So it became known in the industry?

9 A Very quickly.

10 Q But you did send these six railcars of B99 to Utah?

11 A Yes, we had.

12 Q In fact, the other documents attached to this e-mail
13 that you sent to Jacob Kingston are Union Pacific Railroad
14 documents indicating that you sent methyl esters to Utah,
15 correct?

16 A Yes. That is correct.

17 Q And if you turn to the very last page, page 12 of this
18 exhibit, the freight charges that you've included are to
19 Nucor, Utah?

20 A Yes. That is correct.

21 Q So pursuant to the original plan, you have sent these
22 six railcars, correct?

23 A Yes.

24 Q But now you've redone the invoices after the very
25 public raid; is that correct?

1 A Yes. That is correct.

2 Q Now if you turn to tab seven in your binder, this is
3 Government's Exhibit 4-7, in response --

4 THE COURT: What tab is that again?

5 MS. GOEMAAT: It is tab seven, Your Honor.

6 MR. GERAGOS: Did you say seven?

7 MS. GOEMAAT: Seven. It's Exhibit 4-7.

8 MR. GERAGOS: Is that different from the one you
9 just gave us this morning?

10 MS. GOEMAAT: No.

11 MR. GERAGOS: This is the supplement to that.

12 Thank you.

13 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

14 Q Is this an e-mail that Jacob Kingston sent to you in
15 response to your e-mail attaching these invoices?

16 A Yes. That is correct.

17 MS. GOEMAAT: Move to admit Exhibit 4-7 as a
18 co-conspirator statement pursuant to 801(d)(2)(E).

19 MR. GERAGOS: I don't have any objection on this
20 one.

21 THE COURT: It will be admitted.

22 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4-7 was received into
24 evidence.)

25 //

1 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

2 Q What does Jacob Kingston tell you in response to your
3 invoices that you sent?

4 A He states in the e-mail that an invoice revision is
5 coming.

6 Q And he attaches something called cost calculation Cima?

7 A Yes. That is correct.

8 Q And turning to the last page of the attachment, the
9 attachment says price to pay Cima Green for product. Can
10 you explain these numbers?

11 A Yes. So what he's stating is that the product cost
12 \$2.25. Then there's expenses involved. Below the product,
13 he stated RINs, which he listed as nothing. Then you go to
14 your expenses. You have your freight from Argo, which is
15 the facility in Illinois, which is 42 cents per gallon.
16 Then there's freight to California, which is 46 cents per
17 gallon. And a processing fee to WRE, which is Washakie
18 Renewable Energy, for 25 cents. That totaled out to \$1.13.

19 Then he says --

20 Q I'm sorry. Oh, yes. Carry on.

21 A Then he states that there's income, minus freight and
22 processing is \$1.12. He proposed to pay to Cima Green
23 \$1.12, which leaves nothing in profit for Washakie.

24 Q But didn't you previously bill him for \$2.30 per
25 gallon?

1 A Yes. That is what we had put on our invoice because
2 that is what we had stated that the product should cost.

3 Q Is it common for the customer to be able to come back
4 and just change the price of the product?

5 A No.

6 Q And why did you understand he was proposing a change to
7 the price of product here?

8 A I understand that the reason for the change is because
9 in there he states that there is a processing fee to
10 Washakie Renewable Energy. He was trying to claim that
11 there was something wrong with the material.

12 Q And here he says that he's not going to claim RINs?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And this is after your very public FBI raid, correct?

15 A Yes. That is correct.

16 Q And ultimately did you agree to a much lower price?

17 A I believe the end result was roughly a dollar per
18 gallon.

19 Q Substantially less than the \$2.30?

20 A Yes. That is correct.

21 Q I'd like you to turn your attention to tab eight, which
22 is Government's Exhibit 4-8. This is an e-mail from Jacob
23 Kingston just minutes after the e-mail we just looked at and
24 he is attaching a scan --

25 A Yes.

1 Q -- of an invoice.

2 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I move to admit this
3 e-mail and attached invoice as a co-conspirator statement
4 pursuant to 801(d)(2)(E).

5 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos.

6 MR. GERAGOS: No objection.

7 THE COURT: It will be received.

8 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4-8 was received into
9 evidence.)

10 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

11 Q Turning to the second page of this e-mail, what did you
12 receive from Jacob Kingston after he told you invoice
13 revision coming?

14 A This is what we had received from Jacob Kingston. He
15 had made several handwritten changes to the invoice that was
16 originally sent to him with the railcars listed.

17 Q And what are the changes that he was requesting.

18 A He had requested that we change Washakie Renewable
19 Energy to United Fuel Supply as well as list on there that
20 it was B99 SME biodiesel, which is soy methyl ester
21 biodiesel. Soy methyl ester is another term for biodiesel.

22 And then there's a series of letters underneath it and
23 I believe some numbers, which I do not know what that is,
24 and below that he has written no assigned RINs transferred.

25 Q And this was sent after the FBI raid?

1 A Yes. That is correct.

2 Q And why do you understand these changes needed to be
3 made?

4 A They needed to be made in order to show that the
5 product we had sent to Washakie was, in fact, not soy blend
6 or raw materials. It was, in fact, that biodiesel.

7 Q Because you were caught, you were raided in the middle
8 of this fraudulent plan?

9 A Yes. That is correct.

10 Q Would you say that this test of six railcars that was
11 agreed to in that outdoor shed with Joe Furando on the phone
12 and Levon Termendzhyan, would you say this was a successful
13 test of six railcars?

14 A No.

15 Q It kind of fell apart, didn't it?

16 A It did.

17 Q And it fell apart because of the FBI raid that happened
18 at the exact same time?

19 A Yes.

20 MR. GERAGOS: All of this is just pure
21 speculation. There's no foundation for any of this. And
22 it's leading on top of it.

23 THE COURT: It is leading. I'll sustain that
24 portion.

25 MR. GERAGOS: Motion to strike.

1 THE COURT: No.

2 Go ahead.

3 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

4 Q Now in addition to the changes we've seen, did you also
5 have e-mails with Jacob Kingston about the quality of the
6 fuel?

7 A Yes, we did.

8 Q What do you recall happening there?

9 A I recall Jacob Kingston sending an e-mail to myself,
10 and I cannot recall if Joseph Furando is copied on it, in
11 the top of my head. However, the issue was is that he
12 claimed that the material was high in moisture, from what I
13 recall.

14 Q I'd like to turn your attention to tab ten in your
15 binder, which is Government's Exhibit 4-10. Is this an
16 e-mail exchange between you and Jacob Kingston relating to
17 the quality of the product that you sold?

18 A Yes. That is correct.

19 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I'd move to admit
20 Government's Exhibit 4-10 as a co-conspirator statement
21 pursuant to 801(d)(2)(E).

22 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos.

23 MR. GERAGOS: The two-page document, tab ten?

24 MS. GOEMAAT: Yep. Exhibit 4-10.

25 MR. GERAGOS: No objection.

1 THE COURT: Received.

2 (Plaintiff's Exhibit 4-10 was received into
3 evidence.)

4 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

5 Q Okay. So what's the date of this e-mail?

6 A This is now July 11th, 2012.

7 Q So at this point you've had a number of meetings with
8 Jacob Kingston and Levon Termendzhyan; is that right?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q And in those meetings you developed some kind of a
11 plan?

12 A Yes. That is correct.

13 Q And you were actually executing the plan in May; is
14 that right?

15 A Yes, we were. We had full intentions on supplying
16 Washakie with fuel that they could claim was raw material
17 and in turn claim government tax credits on.

18 Q And you'd sent these six railcars?

19 A Yes, we had.

20 Q And then the raid happens?

21 A Yes.

22 Q At which point changes were made to the paperwork?

23 A Yes. That is correct.

24 Q And was there a concern that you could be caught by the
25 FBI in the middle of this scheme if the paperwork wasn't

1 changed?

2 A Yes.

3 Q Now on top of that, did Jacob Kingston or anybody else
4 claim that the material you sold had quality issues?

5 A Yes. As per this e-mail here, there were quality
6 issues, supposedly, with the material.

7 Q You say supposedly. Do you think there were quality
8 issues?

9 A I do not. In my experience, when railcars are loaded
10 at the terminal, they are sealed and then shipped to their
11 location. And if the seal is broken, there is a possibility
12 that the material could be tainted. However, the seal was
13 maintained and intact, and I do not believe that there was
14 any quality issues with this fuel. We had retained samples
15 from this material -- from the tanks and had it tested in
16 our own independent labs that we had sent it out to, and
17 those results came back within the ASTM specs.

18 Q So what did you think was going on at this point?

19 A They were looking to cheat us out of more money is what
20 I believed to be the case.

21 MR. GERAGOS: Again, objection, speculation.
22 There's no foundation. Argumentative.

23 MS. GOEMAAT: If I may, she's in a criminal
24 conspiracy with these people. She can testify as to what
25 she thinks is happening in their conspiracy from her

1 perspective.

2 MR. GERAGOS: Once again, the Court hasn't ruled
3 on that.

4 THE COURT: No. That's overruled.

5 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

6 Q In this e-mail, in Exhibit 4-10, you write to Jacob
7 anything, question mark. We sent our result over to you and
8 would expect to see the same on your end. This issue needs
9 to be resolved immediately.

10 Why did it need to be resolved?

11 A At this time after the federal government had raided
12 our offices, they had seized several of our bank accounts,
13 and we had several million dollars that were being -- that
14 was frozen and we were unable to access it. And in the
15 meantime, we still had bills to pay. We had staff to pay.
16 We had expenses that needed to be kept up with. And the
17 material that was sent to Washakie needed to be paid for,
18 and it had not at this point, and it was almost two months
19 after the material had been picked up.

20 Q So you were trying to get paid?

21 A Yes.

22 Q In this e-mail Jacob Kingston replies and tells you
23 samples were sent to the lab and they could not do all the
24 tests because they were outside the specs for the California
25 standard. Why did this fuel that you sold to Washakie in

1 Utah need to be tested for the specs for the California
2 standard?

3 A Because the fuel itself ultimately wound up in
4 California and that's why it needed to fall within the
5 California standard.

6 Q And where in California did you understand this fuel
7 was going to go?

8 A I understood that it was going to go to Levon's
9 facilities.

10 Q And, finally, Jacob Kingston writes this will be
11 resolved when Levon gets back into the country next week.
12 Is Levon Termendzhyan on this e-mail?

13 A He is not.

14 Q Did you bill Levon Termendzhyan for these six railcars
15 of B99?

16 A We did not.

17 Q Did you send e-mails to and from Levon Termendzhyan to
18 fix the invoices when the FBI raided you and you had to
19 change them?

20 A We did not.

21 Q Why do you think Jacob Kingston is telling you that he
22 can't resolve a quality issue with fuel that you sold him
23 until Levon gets back into the country?

24 A I believe it was because Levon controlled the accounts
25 for Washakie and he controlled whether or not we would be

1 paid.

2 Q Was he controlling this plan?

3 A I believe so.

4 MS. GOEMAAT: I have no further questions for this
5 witness.

6 THE COURT: Thank you.

7 Mr. Geragos.

8 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you.

9 CROSS-EXAMINATION

10 BY MR. GERAGOS:

11 Q Good afternoon.

12 A Good afternoon.

13 Q You said you believed Levon Termendzhyan was
14 controlling the plan based on this e-mail that was 4-10.
15 That was your testimony?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Okay. What if I gave you a different example. What if
18 you sent it to Washakie and Washakie then sold it to Levon
19 Termendzhyan or his company?

20 A I'm not understanding what you're trying to ask there.

21 Q Right, because that would take apart the theory that
22 they were in cahoots?

23 THE COURT: No. I think she's saying she did not
24 understand your question, nor did I.

25 //

1 BY MR. GERAGOS:

2 Q What if he was just the customer of Washakie? Washakie
3 buys it from you, right?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Washakie was the one that -- he's not listed on any of
6 these e-mails, correct?

7 A That is correct.

8 Q He's not on the invoices, the SAS invoices until what
9 looks like sometime after June 20th that there's a United
10 Fuel Supply, right?

11 A The United Fuel Supply that is listed there was a
12 change that Jacob Kingston had sent to us.

13 Q Correct. But you hadn't sent anything to Levon
14 Termendzhyan regarding the six railcars?

15 A Not that I can recall.

16 Q Do you have any -- you don't have any documents, you
17 weren't shown anything by Ms. Goemaat that had to do with
18 these six railcars being billed to Levon Termendzhyan,
19 right?

20 A That is correct.

21 Q You knew at some point that the railcars were going to
22 Utah, right?

23 A Yes.

24 Q You knew that there was going to be an amount for
25 freight to Utah?

1 A Yes.

2 Q But I didn't see on any of the bills, except for that
3 last page, the one that Ms. Goemaat gave me this morning,
4 which looks like freight to California. Is that the first
5 time you ever saw freight to California on something?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q You didn't know about or didn't care about the freight
8 to California, right?

9 A That is correct.

10 Q Now you've also testified today that you went to
11 California and went to the location that didn't impress you
12 all that much; is that right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q That place, was it near the terminal?

15 A I can't recall the distance from his offices to the
16 terminal.

17 Q Do you remember going to the terminal?

18 A I do.

19 Q He took you to the terminal, correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q Do you know what the name of the terminal was?

22 A I do not recall.

23 Q Do you remember if it was Titan terminal?

24 A I do not recall.

25 Q Did you see other trucks going to the terminal?

1 A While we were there, I do not recall trucks arriving at
2 that terminal.

3 Q Do you know who owns that terminal?

4 A From my understanding, it was Levon who owned the
5 terminal that we visited.

6 Q Do you know the name of the terminal that you thought
7 Levon owned?

8 A I do not recall.

9 Q How long did you spend at the terminal?

10 A Maybe ten, 15 minutes.

11 Q What is an LCFS?

12 A I do not know.

13 Q If I told you that it was a term for a California based
14 tax credit, would that help you at all?

15 A No, it would not.

16 Q You don't know anything about the California rules and
17 regulations, do you?

18 A No, I do not.

19 Q Do you know anything about California tax credits when
20 it comes to fuel?

21 A No, I do not.

22 Q Do you know what CARB is, C-A-R-B?

23 A No.

24 Q California Air Resources Board, have you ever heard of
25 that?

1 A I have not.

2 Q Do you know, by the way, whether or not -- or any of
3 the rules. Is it a fair statement that you don't know what
4 the rules are back in 2012 and 2013 -- because that's the
5 time period you dealt with Levon, correct?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q So the earliest would have been March of 2012?

8 A That was the first time I met Levon.

9 Q And latest would have been sometime in July or August
10 of 2013?

11 A No.

12 Q What was the latest?

13 A The last time I interacted with Levon was in February
14 of 2013.

15 Q Okay. So we have a complete time period of less than a
16 year between 2012 and 2013?

17 A That is correct.

18 Q Now during that time period, is it safe to say you know
19 absolutely nothing about California Air Resources Board's
20 requirements in California; is that fair?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Is it also fair that you don't know about any biodiesel
23 regulations in California in 2012 or 2013?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Do you know what -- do you know what B5 is?

1 A B5 is five percent biodiesel and 95 percent diesel.

2 Q Do you know what B10 is?

3 A Ten percent biodiesel and 90 percent diesel.

4 Q How about B20?

5 A 20 percent biodiesel, 80 percent diesel.

6 Q If you take B99, can you blend it to B5?

7 A You can, yes.

8 Q Can you take B99 and blend it to B10?

9 A You can.

10 Q B20?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Do you know if that was done in this case with the six
13 railcars?

14 A I do not have any knowledge as to what happened after
15 to six railcars after they left the Utah facility.

16 Q Okay. You don't know when they left, do you?

17 A No, I do not.

18 Q You don't know if they ever got to California, do you?

19 A No, I do not.

20 Q You don't know if, in fact, they got to California if
21 they were blended -- what would you call B99 to B5, for
22 instance? Would you call that up-blending?

23 A No.

24 Q What would you call it?

25 A I believe that it would be called just blending. I'm

1 not quite sure what the specific term is.

2 Q Do you know what a blending license is in California?

3 A I do not know the specific regulations around it.

4 Q Do you know whether or not Noil Energy, Levon
5 Termendzhyan, or any of his entities had blending licenses
6 in 2012 and 2013?

7 A I never found out whether or not they did or did not.

8 Q Did you ever talk to them about whether or not on all
9 of these transactions they would be able to get credits in
10 California?

11 A No.

12 Q Was that ever raised to you?

13 A No, it was not.

14 Q In any of the discussions?

15 A No.

16 Q During the collection portion of this -- by the way,
17 the six railcars represented how many gallons? Because I've
18 seen pounds and I've seen gallons.

19 Your testimony today, if I understand it, is that it
20 was gallons, not pounds, right?

21 A Yes, because that is how fuel is sold.

22 Q Right. You're saying that when you put pounds on
23 there, that was fraudulent?

24 A It's meant to represent that the material is actually
25 feedstock or some type of vegetable oil.

1 Q Correct. But what I'm asking you is on the invoices
2 that you were shown that say pounds, anytime it says pounds,
3 it's your testimony that that's phony or fraudulent?

4 A Yes, it is.

5 Q And are you also saying that soy blend is fraudulent?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Do you know whether or not, after the six railcars got
8 to Utah, whether or not they were blended?

9 A I do not.

10 Q Did you ever discuss that?

11 A No.

12 Q I believe you testified in front of a grand jury,
13 correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q When you testified in front of a grand jury, you said
16 that it was your testimony that when the railcars left the
17 Illinois facility, that they were sealed?

18 A Yes.

19 Q Did you see that?

20 A I did not.

21 Q Did you talk to somebody to confirm they were sealed in
22 real time at the time when the railcars were picked up?

23 A When we were -- or when the issue was raised to us that
24 there was moisture issues, we went back to our supplier,
25 which was Astra Oil, requested the samples that had been

1 retained from this tank, and asked whether the railcars were
2 sealed. We were told by Astra that the railcars were
3 sealed.

4 Q Was Astra one of your fraud partners when you were at
5 Cima?

6 A No.

7 Q Astra didn't know what was happening?

8 A No, they did not.

9 Q Okay. When you would buy from Astra, what would you
10 buy from them?

11 A We would buy B99 RINless biodiesel.

12 Q Okay. And then would you have Astra say that it was
13 B100?

14 A No.

15 Q Did you tell Astra what you were doing?

16 A No.

17 Q How long after the raids did Astra cut you off?

18 A They cut us off immediately.

19 Q After the raids. What's immediately, how long?

20 A I cannot recall the specific amount of time, but we
21 were done with Astra Oil probably within a day or two. We
22 never pulled any further material from Astra Oil after the
23 FBI raids.

24 Q Did you tell them about the raid?

25 A No.

1 Q Did you have a conversation with them about the raid?

2 A No.

3 Q Did you ever attempt to pull more after the raid?

4 A No.

5 Q How many gallons did you have at the -- if I understand
6 correctly, you had -- was it millions of gallons?

7 A Yes.

8 Q You meaning you and Mr. -- what is his name, your
9 partner?

10 A Furando.

11 Q Furando. How many people, by the way, worked at Cima
12 on the day of the raid?

13 A I do not recall the specific number of employees that
14 we had that day. If I had to estimate, somewhere between 15
15 and 20.

16 Q Fifteen and 20. How many quit after the raid?

17 A Several.

18 Q So you were trying to continue the fraudulent operation
19 after the raid to make sure you could pay either 15 or 20,
20 less whoever quit; is that right?

21 A No. We were not attempting to continue the fraud
22 scheme after the raid. We were attempting to just get
23 payment for the material that we had sent to Washakie.

24 Q So when you sent through interstate commerce bills
25 where you were covering -- what was the term that you used

1 with Ms. Goemaat?

2 A I believe it was alchemy.

3 Q No. The term that you used for covering your tracks --
4 is that what you said? Covering yourself, by changing the
5 invoices?

6 A I'm not sure what you're trying to ask.

7 Q I'm asking you, did you ever, after the raid, change
8 the invoices, any of the invoices to Washakie?

9 A Yes. We changed them from soy blend to state that it
10 was just the railcars. We did not list on there what the
11 material was in the railcars.

12 Q Wasn't that continuing the fraud scheme?

13 A It's not continuing the fraud scheme. It's stating the
14 truth, which was what was in the railcars.

15 Q Well, you were still trying to bill for something that
16 you had claimed was soy blend before, but you didn't think
17 that was fraud?

18 A We billed them for the fair price of what B99 biodiesel
19 without RINs should have been at the time.

20 Q That wasn't my question. My question is was that a
21 continuation, in your mind, of the fraud?

22 A No.

23 Q So you just changed it from soy blend to railcars
24 trying to collect the money that you admit was a fraud to
25 begin with between you and Jacob, right?

1 A Can you --

2 Q You knew that it was a fraud -- you were asked the
3 question earlier today that you thought Jacob knew what you
4 were doing was a fraud, right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q So up until the time that the FBI came in -- it was the
7 FBI or the EPA?

8 A It was multiple agencies.

9 Q Okay. Up until the federal government comes knocking
10 at your door, you believed you were committing fraud, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And you believed Jacob knew he was committing fraud?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And you didn't think that after that trying to collect
15 the money from the fraud with Jacob was a continuation of
16 the fraud; is that correct?

17 A I do not believe that it was a continuation of the
18 fraud.

19 Q You think it was just trying to collect money to get
20 paid; is that right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Now you also, I believe, when looking through the
23 documents here, it looks like you specifically had your
24 accounts frozen; is that right?

25 A We had some of our accounts frozen.

1 Q And you asked specifically that Washakie not wire the
2 money to you; isn't that correct?

3 A I did not personally ask them that.

4 Q Did you know about that?

5 A I did.

6 Q And did you know that the reason that you didn't want
7 the money wired or that -- you were the COO, right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Your title didn't change after the government came
10 knocking, did it?

11 A No.

12 Q After the government came knocking as the -- COO stands
13 for chief operating officer?

14 A Yes.

15 Q It means the person who runs the company basically,
16 right?

17 A The day-to-day operations, correct.

18 Q The day-to-day operations, collecting, shipping, all
19 those things, right?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And so one of the ways you wanted to get around the
22 freezing by the government was to have a check sent to you
23 so that the government wouldn't see or wouldn't know that
24 you were getting money in; isn't that correct?

25 A That is correct.

1 Q And you don't think that that's fraud?

2 A I don't know what the law states that that is.

3 Q How long after the government came knocking did you
4 start to cooperate?

5 A I did not start to cooperate until June of 2014.

6 Q Of 2014. And what precipitated your cooperation? What
7 caused you to cooperate two years later -- what was it, a
8 year later? You said you remember the date as if it was
9 yesterday. What's the date when they came knocking?

10 A They came to our offices on May 24th of 2012.

11 Q Okay. And then you started cooperating what day?

12 A We were indicted in September of 2013 and I began my
13 cooperation in June of 2014.

14 Q Now one of the things that they found during their
15 search were pornographic tapes involving you; is that
16 correct?

17 A That is correct.

18 Q And they came to you and said --

19 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor, as to
20 relevance.

21 THE COURT: What is the relevance?

22 MR. GERAGOS: It goes to her bias. It would be
23 just as relevant as now she's a citizen goat farmer.

24 THE COURT: No. I think it's quite different from
25 citizen goat farmer.

1 MR. GERAGOS: I agree, and I think the jury should
2 know exactly what we were dealing with at the time. She
3 obviously started cooperating after it was presented to her
4 that they had pornographic tapes of her.

5 THE COURT: I'm going to sustain the objection. I
6 don't see how that goes to bias.

7 BY MR. GERAGOS:

8 Q Did you cooperate after you were told about the tapes
9 that had been seized?

10 A No.

11 Q When did you cooperate?

12 A I was not told that the federal government had
13 pornographic tapes of myself.

14 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I would object to this
15 entire line of testimony and move to strike it as Your Honor
16 sustained the relevance objection.

17 THE COURT: I did, and I will strike that.

18 Go ahead, Mr. Geragos, but go to a different
19 subject.

20 MR. GERAGOS: I don't understand why. Because it
21 isn't relevant?

22 THE COURT: I sustained the objection.

23 MR. GERAGOS: On what grounds, so I know I'll stay
24 away from it? I didn't hear the grounds. I just heard
25 relevance. Are you saying it's not relevant?

1 THE COURT: I'm saying it's not relevant.

2 MR. GERAGOS: Okay.

3 BY MR. GERAGOS:

4 Q The FBI -- what else did the FBI seize from you?

5 A From me personally, they seized my phone, my computers.

6 I believe I had a gaming system with me that day. Records,

7 journals, paper documents. It was quite a few items.

8 Q The papers and the journals, were the journals like

9 diaries?

10 A No. They were just notebooks for taking business

11 notes, I believe.

12 Q For what?

13 A For taking business notes.

14 Q Okay. And did you have business notes that you

15 prepared in conjunction with this deal with Washakie?

16 A I cannot specifically recall what was in those

17 notebooks.

18 Q Have you looked at them?

19 A I have not.

20 Q Has the government shown them to you and said are there

21 any notes in here that are contemporaneous with when you --

22 you know what contemporaneous means?

23 A I'm not fully familiar with that.

24 Q I assume when you were taking notes in diaries or

25 journals, that you did it relatively close in time to when

1 it happened?

2 A Yes. That is correct.

3 Q Okay. Now did anybody ever, after you started
4 cooperating in 2014, show you these journals? Anybody from
5 the government.

6 A No, they did not.

7 Q Did you ever say, wait a second here, I could use the
8 journals to refresh my recollection as to who was at a place
9 or who wasn't?

10 A No.

11 Q You did say, however, today, to Ms. Goemaat, that you
12 went back to refresh your recollection based on Facebook
13 pictures, if I understood correctly?

14 A It was not always Facebook pictures. There was backups
15 from my iPhone that I had retained in relation to the
16 E-Biofuels case, and as a result of that, that is where I
17 found the photographs.

18 Q Wait. You found the photographs where, in what backup?

19 A So when you plug in your iPhone, it backs it up to your
20 computer, and I had backups dating back to this time period
21 that contained those photos.

22 Q Didn't I just hear you testify that they seized your
23 computer?

24 A They seized it, made a copy of it, and then returned it
25 to us.

1 Q So when you say returned it to us, was that the
2 computer at your work?

3 A Yes.

4 Q So your work computer is where you kept the notes of
5 your business dealings?

6 A We used work computers. We used e-mails. We used
7 journals. We used text messages, photos. It was a
8 multitude of ways that we kept track of our business
9 dealings.

10 Q Did you keep track of all of those on the computer as
11 well?

12 A Not all.

13 Q Did you have e-mails?

14 A Yes.

15 Q We saw some of the e-mails today, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Have they shown you other e-mails that you haven't been
18 shown today, as you're testifying, prior to your testimony
19 today?

20 A Not that I'm aware of.

21 Q Have they shown you any of your journals prior to you
22 testifying today?

23 A No.

24 Q Has anybody in the entire world -- it's been five years
25 since you started cooperating, right?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Now during that five years, you've met with what,
3 probably 12, 15 different U.S. Attorneys?

4 A I don't believe it's that many. I could be wrong.

5 Q You met with ones in Illinois, correct?

6 A Indiana.

7 Q Indiana. I'm sorry. Right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q You met with one -- at least one in the Central
10 District of California, correct, Mark Williams?

11 A I'm not sure if I met with somebody by the name of Mark
12 Williams. He may have been on a phone call. There's been
13 multiple names back and forth.

14 Q Would you say that you've met with at least ten federal
15 agents and -- I mean you've met with the various lawyers who
16 are sitting here in the courtroom, haven't you?

17 A Yes.

18 Q There are four of them right here. Have you met with
19 them?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you didn't meet with them for the first time until
22 when?

23 A I believe the first time I met with agents regarding
24 this case, I was interviewed in September of 2014 via phone.
25 I believe again October 2014 via phone. And then physically

1 I had a meeting with agents in May of last year.

2 Q Now the ones by phone in 2014 is when you were
3 cooperating and entering into a plea agreement, correct?

4 A I had already entered into a plea agreement at that
5 point.

6 MR. GERAGOS: The plea agreement I believe we're
7 going to mark as 4-A, Your Honor, and I don't believe
8 there's an objection to that.

9 MS. GOEMAAT: No objection, Your Honor.

10 BY MR. GERAGOS:

11 Q My understanding is --

12 THE COURT: Wait a minute. It will be received.

13 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you.

14 (Whereupon, Defendant's Exhibit 4-A was received
15 into evidence.)

16 BY MR. GERAGOS:

17 Q Are you aware of the plea agreement being also accepted
18 by the U.S. Attorney's Office for the Central District of
19 California?

20 A I believe so, yes.

21 Q Are you aware the plea agreement was entered into in
22 the United States District Court, Southern District of
23 Indiana, Indianapolis Division?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And you had a lawyer at the time, correct?

1 A Yes, I did.

2 Q And you signed it, correct?

3 A I did.

4 Q And you understood that you were getting coverage by
5 the Indiana authorities and the California authorities,
6 correct?

7 A I'm not sure what you mean by coverage.

8 Q Well, it was a plea agreement that was signed on to by
9 both the Central District of California and the Indianapolis
10 Division of the Southern District of Indiana, right?

11 A Yes.

12 Q Now you also knew that they were asking, in 2014 when
13 you did the interview, about Levon Termendzhyan, correct?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And about biofuel, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q They asked you about Washakie back in 2014?

18 A Yes.

19 Q And at the time, was your memory better in 2014 or
20 worse than it is today?

21 A At that point in time, I did not spend very much time
22 looking for documents. I did the best that I could. I had
23 a new job. I was newly married, and I was just trying to
24 move on with my life, and I was focused on the E-Biofuels
25 case at that time.

1 Q Is it fair to say that your memory was worse in 2014?
2 Is that what you're saying?

3 A I wouldn't consider it worse. I would consider it just
4 it was what it was. I don't believe that it was worse.

5 Q Well, you just said you weren't focused on it because
6 you had a new job. You said you hadn't looked at your
7 notes, correct?

8 A That is correct.

9 Q You didn't have -- did you tell anybody in 2014, hey,
10 by the way, agent or U.S. Attorney, that there are
11 contemporaneous, meaning -- I call it contemporaneous. If
12 you don't understand what it means, I would call it --
13 there's a term my kids use, which is real time. We did it
14 in real time or at the time. Do you know what that means?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Did you tell anybody I've got real-time notes of
17 journals, or diaries, or texts, or e-mails and it's in your
18 possession?

19 A I did not.

20 Q Do you know if at any point you were questioned about
21 that in 2014?

22 A I believe during my proffer agreement they had asked if
23 I knew of any other parties that may be involved in fraud,
24 and that was when I had mentioned Washakie.

25 Q When you mentioned Washakie in your proffer agreement

1 the first time, you didn't mention Levon Termendzhyan,
2 correct?

3 A I did not.

4 Q And that would have been how close in time after you
5 entered the plea agreement, do you remember? The proffer
6 agreements can be right after. They can be right before.
7 They could be simultaneous. Do you remember what the time
8 line was?

9 A The proffer agreement was before my plea agreement.

10 Q Okay. So you were proffering to the government -- and
11 you understood that's what you were doing, right? That a
12 proffer is you're telling the government this is what I know
13 so I can get a plea. Is that how you understood what was
14 happening?

15 A Yes.

16 Q So you want to tell them -- you understood that you
17 want them to know as much as possible about what you know so
18 that they'll give you, arguably, the best agreement,
19 correct?

20 A I did not know what to expect out of the proffer. I
21 was told to go in, tell the truth, explain to them what I
22 knew, answer their questions, and see what they came back
23 with.

24 Q Okay. And you were told specifically that if you
25 didn't tell the truth, that that could be a federal crime?

1 A Yes.

2 Q They told you there's a thing called false statements
3 if you don't tell the truth?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And you met with them. Do you remember the date you
6 met with them?

7 A I believe it was in June of 2014. I'm not sure of the
8 specific date.

9 Q June 20th?

10 A If that's what the document states, then that was the
11 date.

12 Q And do you remember a July 18th interview between you
13 and Brian Neary and a Will Stanley from the IRS, and
14 Victoria Madtson, M-a-d-t-s-o-n, special agent FBI, Lisa
15 Matovic, resident agent in charge of EPA? And then by
16 phone, Lorna Eagle, Department of Justice, Jake Schmidt,
17 U.S. Attorney for the Southern District, Tom Ballantine,
18 U.S. Department of Justice, Steve Debrot, Assistant U.S.
19 Attorney, do you remember -- it looks like one, two, three,
20 four, five, six, seven, eight, nine, ten, 11 -- excluding
21 you, ten other people?

22 A Yes.

23 Q And you were told to tell the truth. You understand
24 that you can't lie, correct?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And you told them everything you knew about fraud,
2 correct?

3 A I answered the questions that were put forth to me.

4 Q And the first person that you talked about, at least on
5 here -- by the way, how many counts of the indictment had
6 you been indicted on at that point?

7 A I don't recall the specific number of counts.

8 Q Over 25, right?

9 A If that's what the document states, then that is
10 correct.

11 Q You were cooperating against Mr. Furando; is that
12 correct?

13 A As well as several other parties, yes.

14 Q Besides Mr. Furando, is it fair to say you were also
15 talking about Caravan Trading?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what about Christine Furando?

18 A Yes.

19 Q That would be Furando's wife?

20 A Yes.

21 Q How about John Johnson?

22 A Yes.

23 Q That would be Furando's father-in-law?

24 A Yes.

25 Q And what about the Caravan employee Veronica Dilzer,

1 D-i-l-z-e-r?

2 A Yes.

3 Q You were cooperating against her?

4 A Yes.

5 Q You gave incredible detail, is that fair to say, as to
6 what you considered the fraud to be involving them; is that
7 right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q You told them about transactions. You told them about
10 grand pianos. You told them about Honda CRVs, correct?

11 A Yes.

12 Q You told them about 950,000 wires to a Jurecky,
13 J-u-r-e-c-k-y, law group, correct. Remember that?

14 A I believe so.

15 Q How about a Girabaldi & Girabaldi \$2.397 million wire,
16 another law firm?

17 A That would be a law firm, yes.

18 Q You brought that to their attention, correct?

19 A I'm not sure of the specific dollar amount.

20 Q And I'm not holding you to that. I'm just saying a
21 large wire transfer you mentioned, and provided, and
22 identified as part of what you thought was a fraud scheme,
23 correct?

24 A I believe it was in relation to money that was obtained
25 via the fraud scheme.

1 Q You talked about Furando's purchases of Dragon Jewelry
2 Group, correct?

3 A Yes.

4 Q You talked about an Asian female owner of the Dragon
5 Jewelry Group named Tanya, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You specifically talked about \$395,000 from Caravan to
8 Dragon Jewelry Group, right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You gave a lot of detail about going to China Town with
11 Furando, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Were you intimately involved at some point with Furando
14 before you cooperated?

15 A I was.

16 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection as to relevance,
17 Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: What is the relevance, Mr. Geragos?

19 MR. GERAGOS: The relevance, that she was involved
20 with him during the time period of this scheme.

21 THE COURT: What's the relevance?

22 MR. GERAGOS: It goes to bias or motive. And
23 she's cooperating against the wife, the father-in-law, and
24 now anybody else that is in the crosshairs.

25 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, perhaps if this was the

1 trial of Joseph Furando or his wife. But it's not. This is
2 the trial of Lev Dermen. We object as to the relevance.

3 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

4 Mr. Geragos, you're to limit your questions in
5 this area.

6 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you.

7 BY MR. GERAGOS:

8 Q Now you also talked about jewelry purchases for
9 investment purposes; is that right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And you talked about other wire transfers in great
12 detail involving Caravan and Furando, right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q You talked about motorcycle purchases, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q The OCC television show; is that correct?

17 A Yes.

18 Q In fact, one of the pieces of fraud that you talked
19 about involved a biodiesel engine motorcycle that was sent
20 to the National -- what did you call that show you went to
21 in 2012?

22 A It's the National Biodiesel Board Convention.

23 Q Is that in Orlando, Florida?

24 A It's held in different parts of the United States every
25 single year.

1 Q Okay. You talked to these ten people that I named
2 earlier in this proffer session, you talked to them about
3 that; isn't that correct? The biodiesel motorcycle engine.

4 A Those were the questions that they were posing to me.

5 Q Well, you answered those questions, correct?

6 A Yes.

7 Q You also provided folders of documents -- did you
8 provide them with folders or did they show you folders of
9 documents?

10 A I believe that I provided them with documents as well
11 as they provided me with documents.

12 Q So some of the documents that you provided them, where
13 did those come from?

14 A They came from backups that I had on various computers
15 that I had owned.

16 Q Did you detail to them during this session -- there
17 were two sessions prior to entering the plea agreement?

18 A I believe so, yes.

19 Q Now during those two sessions, you told them everything
20 that you remembered at the time, correct?

21 A Related to the Caravan and E-Biofuels case, correct.

22 Q You also talked about Washakie, correct?

23 A Just briefly.

24 Q Okay. And they asked you about it, correct?

25 A I cannot recall specifically what they had asked.

1 Q Did they show you a -- it looks like -- correct me if
2 I'm wrong -- they showed you a folder involving Furando's
3 purchase of watches, \$150,000 worth of watches going back
4 and forth between him and Caravan; is that right?

5 A I believe so, yes.

6 Q Did they also show you activity -- or actually, you
7 were talking about specifically wire transfers between
8 Caravan payments to them and E-Bio's involvement; is that
9 correct?

10 A I believe so, yes.

11 Q Then they also -- did you talk about what footballs
12 were?

13 A Yes.

14 Q What were footballs?

15 A They were data backups that were made from our server
16 on -- I believe it was a daily basis.

17 Q And did you have access to that, to the backup of the
18 server?

19 A Not that I recall.

20 Q Did you have -- what did you have after the search
21 warrant? You said you had a backup, right?

22 A After the search warrant, they returned our computers.
23 What they had done was they took them, made copies of them,
24 and returned our computers and our cell phones I believe
25 later that night, if not early the next morning. The search

1 warrant continued until -- I don't know. It was maybe one,
2 two o'clock in the morning. And at the end of that, they
3 returned our computers to us. So on leaving Caravan Trading
4 and Cima, I had made a backup of my own, and that is what I
5 had.

6 Q Now when you showed them documents, you talked about
7 B99 with them, correct?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And you talked about Caravan purchasing B99, right?

10 A Yes.

11 Q And you also said that you labeled the B99 as soy
12 feedstock, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And specifically you told them that Furando got very
15 mad at you because he wanted the labels -- or the invoices
16 to be labeled correctly before you sent it to E-Bio; isn't
17 that correct?

18 A I believe so, yes.

19 Q Why would he want them labeled correctly before it was
20 sent to E-Bio?

21 A So that it would ensure that everything was stated as
22 it should be in order to maintain the fraud scheme.

23 Q To maintain the fraud scheme?

24 A Yes.

25 Q Why would he want to accurately report it as opposed to

1 fraudulently if it was a fraud scheme?

2 A When I'm stating that Mr. Furando wanted the invoices
3 labeled correctly, I'm referring to that Mr. Furando wanted
4 the invoices to state that it was feedstock, not biodiesel.

5 Q So you're saying that when you say he wanted them
6 labeled correctly, he wanted them to be fraudulently
7 labeled? Is that a better way to put it?

8 A Yes.

9 Q Because it would suggest if you said I want them
10 labeled correctly -- if I told you I want you to correctly
11 answer my question, it would imply telling the truth.
12 You're saying it's just the opposite. When Furando told you
13 I want you to label it correctly, it means continue the
14 fraud, cover up the fraud?

15 A That is correct.

16 Q Did you also -- would you characterize the company that
17 you were doing -- or your company -- Cima is what you call
18 it?

19 A Cima, that is correct.

20 Q It's C-i-m-a, but pronounced Chima?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q No H, but it's got an H in it when you pronounce it?

23 A It's the Italian version of pronouncing it, correct.

24 Q Is that a broker -- I mean basically a brokerage?

25 A We considered ourselves a brokerage or a trading

1 company.

2 Q So if you're a brokerage, what you're doing is you're
3 buying for X amount of dollars and selling hopefully for
4 more, and the delta between the two is how you exist and pay
5 your bills?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Now how many -- at the time that the search warrant was
8 executed, you had been in negotiations with Jacob Kingston
9 prior to that, correct?

10 A As well as Levon Termendzhyan, correct.

11 Q And Levon Termendzhyan knew that you guys -- prior to
12 the search warrant, right? You were negotiating prior to
13 the search warrant, right?

14 A Yes.

15 Q Months before?

16 A We started as early as March of 2013. So that would be
17 two months before.

18 Q Great. So two months before, he knew that you had --
19 how many gallons that you were kind of sitting on? You had
20 described it earlier to Ms. Goemaat. How much fuel had you
21 paid -- I think you had said money that we had outlaid to
22 have this stuff and it was being stored for, if I remember
23 correctly, about four months?

24 A It had been stored for about four months at that point,
25 yes.

1 Q At the time you were negotiating?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And how many gallons?

4 A It was millions of gallons. I don't recall the
5 specific amount we had contracted for.

6 Q And millions of gallons, what would be your cost,
7 roughly? I'm not going to hold you to the exact penny, but
8 well over \$1.50 a gallon?

9 A Yes.

10 Q So if you've got three million gallons that you're
11 sitting on, you've got at least \$5 million in inventory.
12 Fair statement -- rough figures?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Now you let him know that you had this, correct, in the
15 discussions?

16 Either you or -- is it Furando -- would have let both
17 Jacob and Levon know that you had \$5 million worth of
18 inventory that you're trying to sell?

19 A I cannot recall if we specifically told them a dollar
20 amount or if we told them how much we were sitting on. I
21 believe we had said that we were able to provide material to
22 them. I don't recall if we gave them a dollar amount or a
23 quantity.

24 Q Is there any reason why, as a broker -- by the way,
25 prices aren't set in stone, correct?

1 A That is correct.

2 Q You negotiate, correct?

3 A That is correct.

4 Q And you were talking before about fluctuation of
5 prices. You understand that when you've got a lot of money
6 out on the streets, so to speak, if that's a term I can
7 boldly use for having five million in a bunch of tanks
8 somewhere, you need to get rid of it, right?

9 A Yes.

10 Q Otherwise it's not doing you any good.

11 I assume you pay costs to store it, right?

12 A We did not pay the cost to store it. That was on Astra
13 Oil since they actually owned the fuel.

14 Q But you're trying to broker it because you've got money
15 out, correct?

16 A Yes.

17 Q So every day that goes by costs you not just the money
18 that you're out, but the fact that you haven't put that
19 money to work, right?

20 A That is correct.

21 Q So part of what you do is you negotiate your best price
22 possible given what the situation is, right?

23 A It's not always necessarily a negotiation. Mr. Furando
24 had a set price that he would not go below. He did not want
25 to take a loss on this material.

1 Q Let's talk about Mr. Furando in the first meeting that
2 you say he had with Mr. Termendzhyan. Do you remember that
3 conversation that you were telling us about this morning?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Now do you remember, in that first conversation between
6 the two of them, you were at an Italian restaurant; is that
7 correct?

8 A I believe that's what it was.

9 Q And do you remember at that Italian restaurant that,
10 specifically, Furando wanted to talk business and
11 Mr. Termendzhyan did not?

12 A Yes.

13 Q In fact, Mr. Furando kept bugging Mr. Termendzhyan to
14 talk about this scheme, correct?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Mr. Termendzhyan kept saying no, I don't want to deal
17 with that. We're here. We're at a social gathering. I
18 don't want to talk to you about whatever this is, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q In fact, it was not Mr. Termendzhyan saying I want to
21 talk, I want to buy, I want you to recertify it. It
22 certainly was not that. It was the opposite, correct?

23 A Correct.

24 Q And Mr. Furando was -- would you say relentless? Do
25 you know what that word means?

1 A I do.

2 Q Was he relentless in his seeking to get
3 Mr. Termendzhyan involved?

4 A I wouldn't say that Mr. Furando was being relentless.
5 I would say that he was insistent on talking to
6 Mr. Termendzhyan about doing business.

7 Q Didn't you tell the officers and agents that
8 Termendzhyan kept putting him off, Furando?

9 A I do recall that.

10 Q You say Furando was persistent and finally Termendzhyan
11 eventually gave in and started discussing it, correct?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Didn't you tell them, quote, you remembered an exact
14 quote from Mr. Termendzhyan?

15 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor. Mr. Geragos
16 is eliciting hearsay in that these are prior statements of
17 the witness. They are not inconsistent with anything that
18 Ms. Pattison has testified to and so they're not properly
19 admitted really in any way. And we would object at this
20 point as he appears to be just reading from the prior
21 proffer statements.

22 THE COURT: What would you have him do,
23 Ms. Goemaat?

24 MS. GOEMAAT: We would object to him repeating in
25 court these statements, if they're consistent. And if he

1 wants to impeach the witness pursuant to the rules of prior
2 inconsistent statements under oath, we have no objection to
3 that.

4 MR. GERAGOS: I'm not impeaching. I'm eliciting
5 and I'm on cross.

6 THE COURT: Well, you're on cross and you're
7 attempting to impeach --

8 MR. GERAGOS: Okay.

9 THE COURT: -- deal with that properly.

10 MR. GERAGOS: Sure. I am hewing to exactly what I
11 have always considered to be the classic form of cross.

12 BY MR. GERAGOS:

13 Q Didn't you state to the officers that Levon
14 Termendzhyan said I don't care how you do it, but it has to
15 be 60 below heating oil and delivered to California?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Now did you -- and you've already testified you didn't
18 know, or don't know as you sit here, anything to do with the
19 statutory scheme of the California Air Resources Board,
20 correct?

21 A Correct.

22 Q You don't know if 60 below heating oil at the time for
23 California was appropriate or inappropriate because that
24 wasn't your world, right?

25 A Correct.

1 Q You don't know whether or not he was buying for
2 something in California that was 50 below the heating oil
3 price, do you?

4 A No.

5 Q You had no knowledge at the time that Mr. Furando
6 was -- you don't want to say -- is pestering too much of
7 a -- a good word? Furando wasn't relentless, he was what?
8 Persistent?

9 A I can agree to persistent.

10 Q Okay. So did you know anything about what this
11 gentleman's pricing was at the time of that first meeting?
12 Did you know what he was paying in California?

13 A No, I do not.

14 Q All he says is I don't care how you do it, this is what
15 I need, right?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And the upshot of that was that you took that to mean
18 that the company would be a middleman. Is that what you
19 explained to the agent?

20 A I believe so.

21 Q Okay. Where did you -- what was said between Furando
22 and Termendzhyan, the words? Not your belief or your
23 feelings. What words were used that led you to believe the
24 company -- and I assume when you said the company, you were
25 referring to Washakie?

1 A Yes.

2 Q That's what you mean when you say the company?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Now when you say the middleman, what did you
5 understand -- what words were said that led you to believe
6 that?

7 A I believed that Washakie was going to be the facility
8 that would take in the B99 biodiesel, and we would sell it
9 to them and label it as raw feedstock. They would then, in
10 turn, take it, claim that they had produced it as B100, take
11 the RINs, take the dollar tax credit, and, in turn, ship it
12 to Levon.

13 Q I understand all of that. What I'm asking you is what
14 words were used. You didn't hear that. They didn't say
15 that, correct?

16 A That is correct.

17 Q Okay. So you assumed that because that would have fit
18 exactly the fraud scheme that you had been doing with E-Bio,
19 correct?

20 A And that was the scheme that Mr. Furando was looking to
21 continue with Washakie.

22 Q Correct. So your prism, so to speak, what you're
23 looking through is you have been engaged in, how long, with
24 Mr. Furando as of this Miami meeting, which was February or
25 March I think you said.

1 A March.

2 Q So March of 2012, how long at that point had you and
3 Mr. Furando been doing your fraud scheme?

4 A We started the fraud scheme with E-Biofuels in late
5 2009, ramped up through 2010 and 2011, and ultimately it
6 ended in January of 2012.

7 Q So you knew what your fraud scheme was and what
8 Mr. Furando was doing, correct?

9 A Yes.

10 Q You assumed that that's what Washakie would do and what
11 Mr. Termendzhyan would do, correct? You assumed both that,
12 because I think we established the words were -- the exact
13 words weren't used, but this is what you thought was going
14 on.

15 A Mr. Furando explained to Levon what Washakie needed to
16 do in order to make that pricing work.

17 Q I'm sorry. I didn't mean to interrupt.

18 A That's okay. That is what I recall.

19 Q But you also recalled that Mr. Termendzhyan didn't want
20 to have this discussion, correct?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And Mr. Termendzhyan just kept telling him I don't care
23 how you do it, I need to be 60 below -- what did you call it
24 before?

25 A Heating oil.

1 Q Was there a short term for that?

2 A HO.

3 Q HO?

4 A Yes.

5 Q The initials?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Sixty below. And Termendzhyan was stop bugging me
8 about this. I'm eating my pasta. I want to be 60 under HO
9 is basically -- as I look at the report, it looks like
10 that's the quotations that were used, meaning that's the
11 words you recounted to the agents?

12 A That is what I recall him saying.

13 Q Okay. Now you assume a lot of stuff and have a lot of
14 beliefs; is that correct? I was objecting earlier when you
15 were on direct with Ms. Goemaat about what your beliefs
16 were, right?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And your beliefs -- is it fair to say that your beliefs
19 are based on the years of fraud that you'd been doing with
20 Mr. Furando?

21 A Yes.

22 Q And how many deals would you say you and -- how many
23 gallons -- I think you guys said 55 million in fraud is what
24 you admitted to?

25 A Yes.

1 Q What does that represent in terms of gallons of
2 fraudulent fuel that's been mislabeled?

3 A I honestly could not tell you. I don't recall.

4 Q Tens of millions?

5 A I believe so. I don't recall.

6 Q If the RINs credit is a dollar, that would be over
7 \$50 million -- or 50 million gallons, right?

8 A Yes.

9 Q So you're doing nothing -- would you say that your
10 entire operation, you and Furando, was nothing but fraud?

11 A There were some portions that were legitimate. The
12 rest of it was fraud.

13 Q Give a rough estimate of how much fraud. Was it
14 90 percent, 95 percent?

15 A If not more.

16 Q Maybe close to -- I don't want to be facetious, but
17 99 percent?

18 A Possibly.

19 Q And the entire time that year that you met with
20 Washakie, that you met with Mr. Termendzhyan and tried to
21 continue the fraud scheme -- by the way, there were two
22 months after -- or three months after the Miami meeting
23 before the raid, right?

24 A Two months.

25 Q Two months.

1 When did the railcars get shipped?

2 A They were shipped, I believe, in the first or second
3 week of May.

4 Q And the raid was shortly thereafter?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Now it still took at least two months from the first
7 meeting to get six railcars shipped, right?

8 A That is correct.

9 Q And the total amount of gallons of fuel, looking at
10 these invoices, was \$155,000?

11 A I believe so.

12 Q And how long does it take to ship the railcars to
13 California?

14 You don't know, right?

15 A I do not know.

16 Q You just know that they were shipped. How long from
17 Illinois to Utah?

18 A I do not know.

19 Q So we don't even know whether or not the fuel had
20 gotten to Mr. Termendzhyan or to California. We just don't
21 know, do we?

22 A I do not know.

23 Q We don't know if those railcars were still sitting in
24 Utah when the search warrant was executed, do we?

25 A I do not know.

1 Q Do you know how much Washakie applied for in terms of
2 RINs credits on those six railcars?

3 A No.

4 Q Do you know if they did?

5 A No.

6 Q Would you know whether -- by the way, do you know if
7 Mr. Termendzhyan ever had applied for RINs credits?

8 A I do not know.

9 Q Do you know if at any point he ever -- did he ever ask
10 you to see the RINs credit forms?

11 A No.

12 Q Did he ever say, by the way, now that you've explained
13 this scheme to me, this is great, let's start shipping
14 millions of gallons right now at 60 below? Did he tell you
15 that?

16 A No.

17 Q Did you ever -- when he said 60 below, your boss,
18 Furando -- Furando?

19 A Furando.

20 Q Furando said no, correct?

21 A That is correct.

22 Q He wouldn't do it at that price, right?

23 A That is correct.

24 Q Did Mr. Termendzhyan come back and say, okay, 50 under?

25 A I don't know if he came back and there was any

1 negotiation. I don't recall.

2 Q I believe you told Ms. Goemaat that there was -- that
3 Furando was blowing up your phone when you were in
4 California meeting at the -- apparently the large spread of
5 food and a bottle of Tequila; is that right?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Did you drink the Tequila?

8 A I did.

9 Q Did you eat the food?

10 A I did.

11 Q Was it good?

12 A It was.

13 Q Good. So there's something inhospitable about serving
14 you food and giving you Tequila while talking business?

15 A No.

16 Q Didn't you say that Furando was blowing up your phone
17 at the time?

18 A He was sending multiple messages and multiple phone
19 calls requesting updates as to whether or not we had agreed
20 on a price, gallons, ship date. He wanted the information.

21 Q I don't want to mischaracterize it, but it sounded to
22 me like on direct that you said that you had reached your
23 boiling point or breaking point with Furando bugging you at
24 that point. Is that a fair statement?

25 A Yes.

1 Q Basically told him FU?

2 A Yes.

3 Q And at that point put him on the phone --

4 A Yes.

5 Q -- with Mr. Termendzhyan?

6 A Yes.

7 Q And they spoke for -- you told the agents at least, 30
8 to 40 minutes. Today you testified it could have been as
9 long as an hour.

10 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor. It misstates
11 the testimony.

12 MR. GERAGOS: This is on cross.

13 THE COURT: I think that's a misstatement.

14 BY MR. GERAGOS:

15 Q Well, did you tell the agents 30 to 40 minutes?

16 A I did.

17 Q Did you say today it could have been as long as an
18 hour?

19 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor. It misstates
20 the testimony.

21 MR. GERAGOS: That's what cross is. I don't
22 understand the objection.

23 THE COURT: The objection is you're misstating
24 something.

25 MR. GERAGOS: I'm not --

1 THE COURT: I don't recall having heard that and
2 so --

3 MR. GERAGOS: I'm asking her. That's what cross
4 is. I get to --

5 THE COURT: I understand what cross is,
6 Mr. Geragos. All right?

7 BY MR. GERAGOS:

8 Q What did you tell -- what did you say this morning how
9 long that meeting could have lasted?

10 A I believe I stated that it was approximately 30
11 minutes.

12 Q Did you tell the agents it could have been longer?

13 A I believe I told the agents between 30 and 40 minutes.

14 Q Did you say it could have been longer?

15 A I believe that's what I stated. I'm not 100 percent
16 sure without looking at the notes.

17 Q If I showed you notes, would that refresh your
18 recollection?

19 A You are welcome to show them to me.

20 Q Okay. I'm asking would notes refresh your
21 recollection?

22 A Sure.

23 Q Before I refresh your recollection, you remember
24 testifying that you spoke for at least 30 to 40 minutes,
25 correct?

1 A Correct.

2 Q Now did you -- during that time, did
3 Mr. Termendzhyan -- and by the way, what was the date of
4 this meeting? What was the date of this meeting?

5 A Are you talking about the date where Levon and Furando
6 had a conversation or are you talking about the statement
7 that you had in your hand?

8 Q Date of the conversation.

9 A It was the end of March. I don't recall a specific
10 date.

11 Q And during this 30 or 40 minutes, they never cut a
12 deal, did they?

13 A Not specifically.

14 Q In fact, you specifically told the agents they didn't
15 come to a conclusion, right?

16 A No.

17 Q No, they didn't?

18 A No, they did not come to a conclusion.

19 Q So Furando was bugging Levon Termendzhyan to do a deal,
20 and at the end of the day they didn't get a deal. Even
21 after you had been there, after you had enjoyed the
22 hospitality, after you had a conversation with Furando, who
23 was obviously anxious to get a deal done, they still didn't
24 do a deal, correct?

25 A At the end of the day, the only thing that was agreed

1 upon was a short test run between us and Washakie is what I
2 recall.

3 Q When was the next time you talked to Mr. Termendzhyan
4 after that phone call? I mean you testified earlier that
5 you and a girlfriend -- who was the girlfriend who was with
6 you?

7 A She was just a female that came along with us to Las
8 Vegas.

9 Q Who was that?

10 A Somebody that I knew personally.

11 Q What was the name?

12 A Her name was Amanda.

13 Q Amanda what?

14 A I believe her last name was Brown.

15 Q Brown?

16 A Yes.

17 Q Amanda Brown. Did you tell that to the agents?

18 A No.

19 Q Did they ask you what the name of this other witness
20 was?

21 A Not that I recall.

22 Q Is there some reason that you didn't want to reveal the
23 name of Amanda Brown?

24 A She was somebody who was involved with myself and
25 Mr. Furando. That's it.

1 Q What does that mean involved?

2 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor, as to
3 relevance.

4 THE COURT: What is the relevance, Mr. Geragos?

5 MR. GERAGOS: Another witness. Potentially
6 impeachment as to a witness. It's a he said, she said. I'd
7 like to know who else was present.

8 THE COURT: She's already identified the person.
9 I don't know what the basis for your question is other than
10 to embarrass the witness.

11 MR. GERAGOS: Well, okay.

12 BY MR. GERAGOS:

13 Q When you say involved with, what does that mean?

14 A It was a sexual --

15 THE COURT: I think I've already ruled on that.

16 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, I would move to strike
17 the question and the beginning of the answer.

18 THE COURT: That motion is granted.

19 MR. GERAGOS: I don't know. Maybe it's me. I
20 didn't understand that when she says involved, for all I
21 know, involved meant she was involved in the fraud.
22 Involved in a sexual relationship, why is that off limits?
23 I'm trying to ask. I've never been restricted in cross when
24 I'm asking a witness about another witness who was present
25 for a conversation that, as far as I can tell, only involves

1 my client and this witness.

2 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos, I don't care how it's
3 happened to you in other courts. This is this one, and
4 you'll operate within those rules.

5 Ms. Goemaat, did you have something?

6 MS. GOEMAAT: No, Your Honor. Thank you.

7 BY MR. GERAGOS:

8 Q Who else was -- so it was you, Amanda brown, Furando,
9 and Levon, and Furando was on the phone; is that correct?

10 A I'm not sure which meeting now you're trying to refer
11 back to.

12 Q Was there anybody else present on the day that you had
13 the conversation with Furando on the phone?

14 A You mean Furando?

15 Q Furando, correct.

16 A The day that I had the conversation with Furando on the
17 phone and Levon was in California, there was nobody else
18 present for that conversation except for myself,
19 Mr. Furando, and Levon.

20 Q And then the day -- the next time you saw
21 Mr. Termendzhyan was when he had the RV; is that correct?

22 A That is correct.

23 Q The only other person who's a witness to that would be
24 Amanda Brown?

25 A That is correct.

1 Q Didn't you testify at the grand jury that the
2 conversation -- and I'm talking about the one with
3 Furando -- went on probably a good 30, 40 minutes, maybe
4 even longer?

5 A That is correct.

6 Q Could it have been as long as an hour?

7 A I don't recall.

8 Q But you said a good 30 or 40 minutes, maybe even
9 longer. That's when you were under oath in front of the
10 grand jury, right?

11 A That is correct.

12 Q Now you're saying that the reason they couldn't get to
13 60 under the heating oil price is because Furando needed to
14 make a profit; is that right?

15 A Yes.

16 Q And because of that, Mr. Termendzhyan didn't do a deal;
17 is that right?

18 A At that time no deal had been made.

19 Q What?

20 A At the time of that phone conversation, no deal had
21 been made.

22 Q Because Mr. Furando would not meet Mr. Termendzhyan's
23 price?

24 A That was to directly sell to Mr. Termendzhyan, that is
25 correct.

1 Q Okay. So when they couldn't reach a deal selling
2 directly -- and that's what you understood the arrangement
3 to be. You understood Furando, when he was negotiating with
4 Levon Termendzhyan, to be negotiating a sale directly to
5 Mr. Termendzhyan, right?

6 A That is correct.

7 Q Mr. Termendzhyan was sitting in California. Did they
8 talk about, during this 30 or 40, or maybe even longer
9 meeting, did they talk about how the product was going to
10 get to California?

11 A Yes.

12 Q How was that going to be?

13 A My recollection is that it was going to be via railcar.

14 Q Through a railcar?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Okay. And that would have been railcar'd directly from
17 Illinois to California?

18 A No. It would have been from Illinois to Utah, Utah to
19 California, because in order to make the pricing work, it
20 had to be recertified and had to have a dollar tax credit
21 and RINs claimed on it in order to be able to meet that
22 price.

23 Q That was Furando's issue, correct?

24 A Yes.

25 Q That's not Termendzhyan's issue, correct?

1 A Yes.

2 Q Termendzhyan just cared about price. He didn't care
3 about Furando's fraud scheme. That was what Furando was
4 fixated on, right?

5 A Yes.

6 Q In fact, the reason it broke down is because
7 Termendzhyan didn't want to engage in the fraud scheme with
8 Furando, correct?

9 A It did not break down. There was an agreement to do a
10 small test run of six railcars.

11 Q Right. And that was because Furando finally said,
12 okay, I'll come down in price?

13 A I don't recall whether or not he said he was going to
14 come down in price.

15 Q Well, you said before and you testified under oath,
16 didn't you, at the grand jury, that at the end of that 30-
17 or 40-minute conversation, or even longer, that they didn't
18 have a deal, correct?

19 A Correct.

20 Q And the reason they didn't have a deal is because
21 Termendzhyan only cared about price. He didn't care about
22 Mr. Furando's fraud, right?

23 A Correct.

24 Q Now the other thing that you've talked about, you've
25 had -- as I understand it, you do these, or you were

1 doing -- during your three or four years of the fraud deals,
2 you would do, you meaning Cima, would do deals with other
3 legitimate parties, correct?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And when I say legitimate parties -- what do you call
6 them?

7 They're not a vendor because they're not selling to
8 you. You're selling to them. When you brokerage, who's the
9 end buyer? What's the term?

10 A We would just call them a buyer.

11 Q So you would do deals with buyers based on price,
12 correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q In fact, that's the whole kind of baseline of what a
15 broker is, buy low, sell higher, correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q So the buyer doesn't care what you're doing in the
18 interim as long as you're giving him the price, correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And you did that for years, and up until the time there
21 was a raid on your place, you hadn't cut any deal other than
22 a small test run when you reduced the price sufficiently,
23 correct?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Now what was the price that you and Furando reduced it

1 to?

2 A I don't recall specifically.

3 Q You don't remember?

4 A No.

5 THE COURT: I think we're going to take a
6 ten-minute recess now.

7 (Recess)

8 THE COURT: You may continue, Mr. Geragos.

9 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

10 BY MR. GERAGOS:

11 Q So I understand, the fuel you were sitting on, you and
12 Mr. Furando, was B99, correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And that was -- you were told specifically that the B99
15 could be blended into other diesel, correct?

16 A Told specifically by whom?

17 Q Levon told you that.

18 A He told us that he was up-blending, correct.

19 Q Right. And that he could sell when he up-blended,
20 right?

21 A Yes.

22 Q But you don't know what he was referring to in terms of
23 California statute, correct?

24 A Correct.

25 Q Now you were still -- so you've got the Miami, which is

1 February or March, correct?

2 A March.

3 Q Which we already established. You had first thought it
4 was February, but then pinned the date down to March. Then
5 there's an April date, correct?

6 A There was two dates in March.

7 Q Correct.

8 A Then there was an April date.

9 Q And then you do the cooperation -- wait a second. Go
10 back to the -- the Vegas meeting was for five minutes?

11 A That is what I recall.

12 Q And that was in the parking lot?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And you did that agreement -- the proffer agreement, I
15 think we established, sometime in June, correct?

16 A In June of 2014, correct.

17 Q And do you remember talking to an Allison -- an Agent
18 Allison Baker, who's a special agent, on the phone, and she
19 interviewed you in September --

20 A That is correct.

21 Q -- of 2014?

22 A That is correct.

23 Q And isn't that the first time that you told her about
24 Levon Termendzhyan, that you told anybody during your
25 proffer sessions specifically about Mr. Levon Termendzhyan?

1 A That is what they questioned me on, correct.

2 Q That was Allison -- do you remember the agent's name is
3 Allison Baker?

4 A I don't recall her name specific.

5 MR. GERAGOS: May I approach and just show her a
6 report to see if that will refresh her recollection.

7 BY MR. GERAGOS:

8 Q I've got a report which looks like US0007022-001.
9 First page, there's a name and date. Just read that
10 silently to yourself and see if that refreshes your
11 recollection.

12 A That was the agent who interviewed me, then.

13 Q That refreshes your recollection?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And Allison Baker was the one who interviewed you, and
16 that was the first of three interviews that she did with
17 you, correct?

18 A I believe it was only two. I'm not sure if there was a
19 third one. I know that there was a conversation in
20 September and a conversation in October. I cannot recall if
21 I met with her a third time.

22 Q Okay. And you just said met. Do you mean -- the first
23 one, it appears, was by phone?

24 A Yes. Sorry. That's what I meant by met. It was a
25 phone conversation.

1 Q Correct. And she was always asking about Levon
2 Termendzhyan and Noil Energy, correct?

3 A She had asked questions about Washakie as well as Levon
4 Termendzhyan.

5 Q So she was asking about Washakie and Levon?

6 A I believe so, yes.

7 Q And that was also in connection with -- you were doing
8 that in connection with your plea agreement, correct?

9 A Yes. That was part of my cooperation agreement.

10 Q At that point -- I just showed you the September
11 date -- you have signed a proffer agreement before that,
12 correct?

13 A Yes.

14 Q Then you had entered into a plea agreement, correct, a
15 cooperation plea agreement?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And then this is after the cooperation plea agreement,
18 correct?

19 A Yes.

20 Q And then specifically the deal that you were trying to
21 make, that Mr. Furando was pushing you to make. After that
22 30- to 40-minute call in California, then there was the next
23 meeting, which would have been the third meeting with Levon?

24 A There was the meeting in Miami, the meeting in
25 California, and then, again, in Las Vegas. So yes, that

1 would be three meetings.

2 Q And the third meeting was approximately five minutes
3 with Amanda Brown?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And did you tell Mr. Termendzhyan that if he closed the
6 deal, he could get something special from Amanda Brown?

7 A I don't recall telling him that.

8 Q Do you know what I'm talking about?

9 A I do not recall.

10 Q Did you tell him if he closed the deal right there, he
11 could have sex with Amanda Brown?

12 A I do not recall talking about that.

13 Q Is that something that you've done in other deals?

14 A No.

15 Q So you've never offered up one of your friends for sex
16 to close a deal?

17 A No.

18 Q But you don't remember if you did it in this case?

19 A I've never offered it to anybody.

20 Q A minute ago you said you didn't remember.

21 A I'm saying I have never offered it to any other clients
22 and I do not ever recall offering it to Levon.

23 Q You were impressed with him, though, right?

24 A I stated before that I was impressed and I thought that
25 he was a powerful man, yes.

1 Q And you had told that to Amanda Brown, correct?

2 A I don't recall telling her that.

3 Q You don't recall.

4 Did you tell her who you were meeting?

5 A No.

6 Q Did you tell her just come with me to Vegas?

7 A She was coming with us to Vegas because we asked her to
8 join us.

9 Q Who's we?

10 A Myself and Mr. Furando.

11 Q And was there some conference in Vegas?

12 A No.

13 Q And the date in Vegas that you were going there, was
14 that preplanned?

15 A No.

16 Q And then the -- how did you get there?

17 A Via plane.

18 Q And then just now did you take a break and talk with
19 the prosecutors in this case?

20 A I did not talk with anybody.

21 Q So you haven't talked to anybody since I've been
22 talking with you; is that correct?

23 A That is correct.

24 Q Haven't talked about your testimony at all?

25 A No.

1 Q How long have you prepared with the prosecutors in this
2 case for your testimony?

3 A For today?

4 Q Before today, correct.

5 A I met with the prosecutors yesterday.

6 Q And how long was that?

7 A We started at approximately nine o'clock in the
8 morning, we broke for lunch, and we wrapped up at
9 approximately four o'clock in the afternoon.

10 Q When you say you broke for lunch, half hour, hour?

11 A Half an hour.

12 Q So three and a half hours in the afternoon, three hours
13 in the morning?

14 A Approximately.

15 Q Okay. Who was present with you?

16 A It was Ms. Goemaat from the morning. I believe there
17 was another agent by the name of Jamie Hipwell who was
18 there. Agent Matthew Bird. At some point Mr. Rich Rolwing
19 joined us. Mr. Sullivan -- do I have that correct? -- he
20 joined us. And I don't recall the gentleman who's sitting
21 in the blue suit -- I don't remember his name.

22 Q Did they show you your grand jury testimony?

23 A I reviewed my statements.

24 Q And your statements?

25 A Yes.

1 Q And grand jury testimony is a transcript. Were you
2 shown that?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Did you do any rehearsal?

5 A We walked through the testimony and the questions that
6 were going to be asked of me today.

7 Q Okay. Did you -- for instance, did you say or suggest
8 that in response to certain questions this would be the
9 answer?

10 A I'm not sure what you're trying to ask.

11 Q Well, you spent six hours there. The six hours was
12 just to reiterate what you already knew or did you go
13 through questions and answers?

14 A It was to reiterate what I already knew.

15 Q That wasn't really my question. My question is did you
16 go through mock questions and answers?

17 A We went through questions that would be posed during my
18 testimony, yes.

19 Q And did you go through what a cross-examination would
20 look like?

21 A We didn't spend very much time on cross-examination.

22 Q Did you go through and was it suggested to you that
23 certain things you shouldn't talk about?

24 A No.

25 Q Certain things that you should say?

1 A No.

2 Q The six hours was just going over what you've testified
3 to today?

4 A Yes.

5 Q So it took you six hours to prepare for this?

6 A I believe so, yes.

7 Q Thank you.

8 MR. GERAGOS: I have no further questions.

9 THE COURT: Redirect.

10 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

11 REDIRECT EXAMINATION

12 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

13 Q Ms. Pattison, I'll try to be brief in my redirect.

14 Now Mr. Geragos asked you some questions about
15 up-blending and whether you knew yourself any of the rules
16 in California, which I believe you answered you do not,
17 correct?

18 A That is correct.

19 Q But you did talk about up-blending with
20 Mr. Termendzhyan?

21 A Yes.

22 Q Where were you when you talked about up-blending?

23 A We were at the fuel terminal that I perceived to be
24 Mr. Termendzhyan's in California.

25 Q You keep calling it a terminal which actually has a

1 technical definition. Can you just describe what you saw
2 there?

3 A It was located near a rail yard. There was fuel pumps
4 and I believe some tanks as well.

5 Q When you say fuel pumps, is it the kind of pump you can
6 actually put into a vehicle to put gasoline into a vehicle?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And what did Levon Termendzhyan tell you at those fuel
9 pumps about up-blending?

10 A He had said that this is where our fuel would be
11 delivered to his customers, and that at times he had blended
12 as much as 50 percent biodiesel into the diesel fuel that he
13 was selling out of that terminal.

14 Q Up to 50 percent?

15 A Yes.

16 Q Did you ask any follow-up questions?

17 A I did not.

18 Q And what was your goal in this meeting? Was it to find
19 out how much he was up-blending or to catch him in a fraud?

20 A No.

21 Q What was your goal?

22 A To close the deal that we were working on in order to
23 sell fuel, in order to be recertified through Washakie, and
24 ultimately wind up at Levon's facility.

25 Q Now you were questioned about these changed invoices

1 that you also testified about on direct examination. There
2 seemed to be some confusion. Are you denying that this plan
3 with Levon Termendzhyan, and Jacob Kingston, and Furando was
4 a fraud?

5 A No.

6 Q And if it was perceived that you were denying in some
7 way that that was a fraud, you're not doing that, are you?

8 A No.

9 Q So after these FBI raids when you changed the
10 description on the invoices to railcars, you took what was
11 an absolutely false invoice that said soy blend, I believe,
12 and you just had it list the railcar number, correct?

13 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

14 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

15 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

16 Q Did you change invoices after the raid?

17 A Yes.

18 Q And what was the change that you made?

19 A We changed it from stating that it was soy blend to
20 state that it was just the railcars.

21 Q And is that because the FBI had raided you and you'd --

22 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

23 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll rephrase.

24 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

25 Q Why did you do that?

1 A Because our companies had been raided by the FBI and
2 the EPA, and a host of other agencies, and we were not
3 willing to admit or show that we were engaged in any further
4 fraud.

5 Q Now when you originally sent these six railcars out to
6 Washakie, was that intended to be part of a fraud?

7 A Yes.

8 Q And when you changed the invoice to reflect a railcar
9 being sold for \$2.30, was that actually an accurate -- was
10 that accurate once you changed it?

11 A Yes.

12 Q And at that time was \$2.30 the price that you had
13 agreed upon to sell the B99 to Washakie?

14 A I can't recall if it was the specific price that was
15 agreed upon. It was a fair price, to our minds, in order to
16 still make a profit on the material that we had purchased
17 from Astra Oil.

18 Q And then once the FBI raid happened, did the pricing
19 change?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And before the FBI raid, what did you think Washakie
22 was going to do to increase their profit margin?

23 A That they were going to take this material and we would
24 list it as raw materials or feedstock on the paperwork for
25 Washakie in order to then have them claim that they had

1 produced it as B100 and say that they then were eligible for
2 the dollar tax credit and the RINs.

3 Q What, if anything, was Levon Termendzhyan's profit
4 going to be?

5 A I don't know what his specific profit was going to be.
6 I just know that it needed to be --

7 MR. GERAGOS: Again, objection, nonresponsive
8 after I don't know what his profit was going to be.

9 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, she sat in multiple
10 meetings with Levon Termendzhyan where they discussed how
11 they were going to price this fuel and what price Levon
12 Termendzhyan wanted it at. She is qualified to testify
13 generally as to how it is that Levon Termendzhyan was going
14 to make a profit from the fraud scheme he agreed to.

15 THE COURT: The objection is overruled.

16 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you.

17 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

18 Q What generally was Levon Termendzhyan's profit going to
19 be made of?

20 A It was going to be made of the difference between the
21 cost of the biodiesel, which he wanted delivered to
22 California at 60 cents under heating oil, and what he could
23 sell it for in his terminals.

24 Q I'm glad you mentioned the 60 cents under heating oil.
25 On cross-examination Mr. Geragos asked you repeatedly

1 whether the only thing Levon Termendzhyan cared about was
2 the price of HO minus 60?

3 A Yes.

4 Q Yes, he did care about that.

5 When he was telling you that the price must be HO minus
6 60, were you discussing with Levon Termendzhyan and Joe
7 Furando what you could do in order to get him the fuel at HO
8 minus 60?

9 A Yes.

10 Q And what were you guys going to do so that Levon
11 Termendzhyan could get his fuel at HO minus 60, which he
12 then told you he was up-blending up to 50 percent?

13 MR. GERAGOS: There's an objection. It misstates
14 the evidence. It conflates three different conversations at
15 three different times. And it's leading.

16 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

17 BY THE WITNESS:

18 Q Do you remember the question?

19 A If you could please repeat it.

20 Q Sure. When you were in these meetings where Levon
21 Termendzhyan was demanding HO minus 60, what were you
22 discussing with Levon Termendzhyan and Joe Furando to ensure
23 that Mr. Termendzhyan could get the fuel at HO minus 60,
24 which he told you at the fuel pump he was going to up-blend
25 up to 50 percent?

1 A We discussed that Cima Green would send the material
2 from the facility in Illinois via railcar to Washakie in
3 Utah and label it as feedstock so that then Washakie would
4 be able to claim that that material was used to produce B100
5 biodiesel so that Washakie could get the dollar tax credit
6 and the RINs, strip those off, and then sell it to Levon
7 delivered into California so it could meet his price of HO
8 minus 60.

9 Q And in those meetings where you discussed this with
10 Levon Termendzhyan, did Levon Termendzhyan have any problem
11 with this mechanism of lowering his price?

12 A Not that I can recall.

13 Q Did he ever object to it and say we can't do that,
14 that's illegal?

15 A Not that I recall.

16 Q In fact, it actually got him, would you say --

17 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

18 THE COURT: Sustained.

19 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

20 Q If this had worked as planned, what do you understand
21 that Levon Termendzhyan would have gotten?

22 A I understand that if this scheme had worked, that Levon
23 would have gotten the price that he wanted, the material
24 that he wanted and needed, and would have been able to then
25 blend it into his fuel to sell to his customers.

1 Q Now you were asked on cross-examination whether you
2 know whether or not Washakie ever claimed RINs on this
3 material?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And do you know?

6 A No.

7 Q If you turn back to Exhibit 4-7, which is the tab seven
8 in your binder --

9 A Yes.

10 Q -- the attachment to this e-mail from Jacob Kingston
11 has a blank where the RINs would be.

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you believe that to mean anything?

14 A I believed that that meant they were not claiming RINs
15 on it.

16 Q Now I'd like you to look at tab 12 in your binder.
17 This has been marked as Government's Exhibit 4-12.

18 MS. GOEMAAT: And, Your Honor, I would like to
19 move to provisionally admit this. The United States will
20 later seek to introduce these records as business records
21 through a witness. We'd like to provisionally admit
22 subject --

23 THE COURT: Through this witness or another
24 witness?

25 MS. GOEMAAT: We'll admit it later. We'd like to

1 provisionally admit it subject to being admitted as a
2 business record through a custodian.

3 THE COURT: Mr. Geragos.

4 MR. GERAGOS: Which document? 4-12 what?

5 MS. GOEMAAT: Exhibit 4.12.

6 MR. GERAGOS: I've got 4-12. None of this bears
7 any relationship to this witness that I can tell, which is I
8 assume why they want to provisionally admit something that
9 has no basis with this witness to ask this witness to
10 speculate to something.

11 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes. We'd like to provisionally
12 admit it, ask the witness questions about it, and later
13 admit it through a document custodian who can establish the
14 relevance as to Ms. Pattison's testimony, and we would not
15 object to striking her answers if the government later fails
16 to admit it as a business record.

17 THE COURT: I'll allow it.

18 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

19 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

20 Q Now you did not create the invoice marked as 4-12,
21 correct?

22 A Correct.

23 Q This is an invoice -- actually a series of invoices
24 from United Fuel Supply to Noil Energy Group. And I'm going
25 to ask you, hypothetically, if this was an invoice for the

1 B99 -- some of the B99 that you sold to Washakie, that exact
2 fuel, if, hypothetically, this was an invoice selling some
3 of that fuel here, 5300 gallons --

4 MR. GERAGOS: Wait. Can I interpose an objection?
5 Hypothetical questions are for experts. She's not qualified
6 as an expert. This is why I objected in the first place.

7 THE COURT: She said it's going to be admitted or
8 offered later through the custodian of the records.

9 Correct, Ms. Goemaat?

10 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes.

11 THE COURT: All right. Assuming that they can lay
12 that foundation, then the witness can testify as to what she
13 said. They would accept a motion to strike at a later time
14 if for some reason they couldn't lay the foundation.

15 MR. GERAGOS: Mine is more on the asking of a
16 hypothetical question, not on the foundation. I understand
17 the provisional nature of this. I'm saying that this is not
18 an expert where we can ask hypothetical questions.

19 THE COURT: All right. Don't ask it
20 hypothetically.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor. I'll rephrase.
22 I'd be happy to establish it as we expect it to come in.

23 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

24 Q Now this United Fuel Supply invoice bills Noil Energy
25 Group for some of the B99 sold by your company, Cima Green.

1 Do you see the line that reads PTD RIN 12038030?

2 A Yes.

3 Q What does that mean to you?

4 A In my mind, PTD standards for product transfer
5 document, which is what is used to transfer RINs from the
6 seller to the buyer, and the 12038030 is the identification
7 code for that product transfer document.

8 Q So based on your experience buying and selling B99, is
9 this an invoice selling B99 with RINs?

10 A Yes.

11 Q Now you previously testified that RINless B99 is much
12 cheaper than B99 with RINs, or you might have said B100 with
13 RINs. Can you explain again why that is?

14 A B99 without RINs means that there has been a dollar tax
15 credit and the RINs, which have a monetary value, taken from
16 it. So therefore it is much cheaper than either B100 or B99
17 that has RINs associated with it. Whatever the dollar
18 amount of that RIN is is factored into your purchase price.

19 Q And what is the price as indicated on this invoice?

20 A It is \$2.3573, or 2.3573.

21 Q So basically \$2.35?

22 A Yes.

23 Q Now best case scenario, how much can you get for a RIN,
24 a biodiesel RIN? Just best case scenario, in your
25 experience.

1 A I recall seeing them as high as \$1.50 per RIN.

2 Q And do you know whose company Noil Energy Group is?

3 A It belongs to Levon.

4 Q Levon Termendzhyan?

5 A Yes.

6 Q Now if you can get \$1.50 per RIN -- and how many RINs
7 does each biodiesel gallon have?

8 A One and a half.

9 Q So if you can possibly get \$2.25 from a RIN per gallon,
10 and if this fuel, this Cima Green fuel is being sold now
11 with RINs, what is it actually costing Noil Energy to buy
12 this fuel?

13 A Approximately ten cents.

14 Q Approximately ten cents?

15 A Yes.

16 Q That would be even less than HO minus 60, wouldn't it?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Substantially less than HO minus 60?

19 A Substantially, yes.

20 THE COURT: Don't lead, Ms. Goemaat.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

23 Q Now Mr. Geragos asked you on cross-examination whether
24 you were seeing through the prism of E-Biofuels and whether
25 you perhaps misunderstood all of these encounters that you

1 had with Mr. Termendzhyan because you were seeing it through
2 the fraud that you were committing with E-Biofuels. So
3 let's go over some of the encounters.

4 Your first conversation with Mr. Termendzhyan, March of
5 2012 in the Italian restaurant, Joe Furando, he wanted to
6 talk business, correct?

7 A Yes.

8 Q You don't deny that he was trying to talk business?

9 A No.

10 Q He was always trying to talk business?

11 A Even at two o'clock in the morning, yes.

12 Q And Levon Termendzhyan, he was reluctant to at first
13 discuss business?

14 A Yes.

15 Q And then did you discuss business?

16 A Yes.

17 Q And what was the discussion?

18 A The discussion was --

19 MR. GERAGOS: Asked and answered.

20 MS. GOEMAAT: I don't think so, Your Honor, as on
21 cross-examination, Mr. Geragos suggested that it was all
22 confused misinterpretation based on the prism of E-Biofuels.

23 THE COURT: I'm going to overrule the objection.

24 MR. GERAGOS: Thank you, Your Honor.

25 THE COURT: Go ahead.

1 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

2 Q Please tell the Court what the conversation you
3 eventually had at that restaurant with Levon Termendzhyan
4 was.

5 A The conversation between Levon Termendzhyan, myself and
6 Mr. Furando revolved around a fraud scheme utilizing
7 Washakie Renewable Energy, which consisted of Cima Green
8 taking B99 biodiesel, labeling it as feedstock or raw
9 materials, selling it to Washakie Renewable Energy to their
10 facility in Utah, and Washakie would then claim that they
11 had produced this material from the raw materials and say it
12 was B100 with RINs, and be able to be eligible for the RINs
13 and the dollar tax credit. In turn, they would then sell
14 that to Levon in California.

15 Q Was this a blatant discussion of fraud, from your
16 perspective?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Did Levon Termendzhyan participate in this discussion
19 of the fraud scheme?

20 A Yes.

21 Q And you were asked on cross-examination whether Levon
22 Termendzhyan said something to you to the effect of I don't
23 care what you do, just get it to --

24 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading. I understand
25 that this is redirect, but everything that's asked is

1 leading.

2 THE COURT: Please proceed without leading,

3 Ms. Goemaat.

4 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

5 Q Did Mr. Termendzhyan want this fuel cheap?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Did he express that to you clearly?

8 A Yes.

9 Q And based on your experience in the biodiesel, was the
10 price that he wanted this fuel possible without doing fraud?

11 A No.

12 Q And so you had all this fuel, right?

13 A Yes.

14 Q And then Mr. Termendzhyan, did he seem like --

15 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

16 MS. GOEMAAT: I haven't asked the question yet,
17 Your Honor.

18 THE COURT: Overruled.

19 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

20 Q Did he seem like a possible customer?

21 A He did.

22 Q Would that have been a good thing for you?

23 A Yes, it would have. It would have gotten us out of our
24 position that we were in.

25 Q So what possible way did you have to get him fuel at

1 his price of HO minus 60?

2 A The only way that we could have done it was to
3 recertify the fuel through Washakie utilizing the scheme
4 that I have previously described.

5 Q Did you discuss this clearly with Levon Termendzhyan?

6 A Yes.

7 Q Did he object to it in any way?

8 A No.

9 Q And after that meeting, at the very end of March 2012,
10 were you summonsed back to Los Angeles by Levon
11 Termendzhyan?

12 A Yes.

13 Q Did you have another conversation in that outbuilding
14 with Mr. Furando on speakerphone and Levon Termendzhyan?

15 A Yes.

16 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, leading.

17 THE COURT: No. That's not leading. Overruled.

18 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

19 Q Did you blatantly discuss fraud in that phone call?

20 A Yes.

21 THE COURT: That may be leading.

22 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

23 Q Please tell the Court, briefly, what did you discuss in
24 that phone call in that outbuilding?

25 A We had an open conversation regarding the fraud scheme

1 that I have previously described, which involved Cima Green,
2 Washakie, and ultimately selling to Levon.

3 Q Did Levon Termendzhyan object to it?

4 A Not that I recall.

5 Q Was anybody -- were you trying to hide what you were
6 doing from anybody involved in this phone call?

7 A No. All parties needed to be aware of what was going
8 on in order for this scheme to work.

9 Q And did you come to any kind of conclusion about this
10 scheme in that phone call?

11 A By conclusion, I'm not sure what you're trying to ask
12 there.

13 Q Did you agree to any parts of it yet?

14 A We agreed to do a short test run of six railcars. No
15 dates, no price, no other specifics were discussed.

16 Q Could you start sending railcars until you had actually
17 decided that information?

18 A No.

19 Q All right. Who was trying, in this phone call, to get
20 to an agreement? Who wanted an agreement?

21 A Mr. Furando.

22 Q And whose agreement did he need?

23 A He needed Levon's.

24 Q And after you got this agreement for six railcars, were
25 you again briefly summonsed by Levon to Las Vegas?

1 A Yes.

2 Q And ultimately you did sell -- is it the case that you
3 did sell these six railcars?

4 A Yes.

5 Q And as you testified to extensively on direct
6 examination, there were problems with the invoices and
7 supposed problems with the quality from the start --

8 A Yes.

9 Q -- is that correct?

10 A That is correct.

11 Q I'd like you to turn your attention to the tenth tab of
12 your binder, which was previously admitted, 4-10.

13 When you were trying to resolve the final issues with
14 these six railcars, who did Jacob Kingston tell you would
15 decide what to do and resolve the issue?

16 A It states in the e-mail this will be resolved when
17 Levon gets back into the country --

18 MR. GERAGOS: Objection, that's nonresponsive.
19 She said who did Jacob Kingston tell you, and Levon
20 Termendzhyan, and it's also asked and answered.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, it's an e-mail from
22 Jacob Kingston. It's previously admitted and it's directly
23 responsive to cross-examination.

24 THE COURT: Overruled. Go ahead.

25 //

1 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

2 Q Let me ask the question again. July of 2012, is that
3 near the end of this entire episode?

4 A Yes.

5 Q Had you had problems, supposedly, with the quality of
6 the material?

7 A Yes.

8 Q Did you write to Jacob Kingston and tell him it needed
9 to be resolved?

10 A Yes. We needed payment.

11 Q And who did Jacob Kingston tell you was going to
12 resolve this issue?

13 A As per the e-mail, it states this will be resolved when
14 Levon gets back into the country next week.

15 Q Is that consistent with your understanding of this
16 entire scheme that you entered into?

17 A Yes.

18 Q Why?

19 A In my mind it is because Levon controlled every aspect
20 of this deal, that he was the person who was in charge of
21 making the decisions, and who would get paid and how much.

22 MS. GOEMAAT: No further questions for this
23 witness. Thank you, Your Honor.

24 THE COURT: You may proceed.

25 //

1 RE CROSS-EXAMINATION

2 BY MR. GERAGOS:

3 Q I've looked through the reports. It looks like --
4 let's see if this refreshes your recollection. I showed you
5 the one report and that refreshed your recollection that you
6 talked to Allison Baker on September 19th, 2014, correct?

7 A Correct.

8 Q Then you said you thought you had two other
9 conversations, but I found another one that looks like you
10 had a second conversation with Allison Baker on
11 October 10th, 2014. Does that refresh your recollection?

12 Do you want me to show it to you?

13 MR. GERAGOS: May I approach and show her?

14 MS. GOEMAAT: Your Honor, this is outside the
15 scope of redirect, and it appears to be a continuation of
16 his original cross.

17 THE COURT: It's outside the scope.

18 MR. GERAGOS: No. It's the conflation and I'm
19 going to show what they have done.

20 THE COURT: Go ahead, for the moment.

21 BY MR. GERAGOS:

22 Q I've shown you three different reports with three
23 different dates. Did you take a look at those?

24 MS. GOEMAAT: We would ask counsel to show those
25 reports with the government.

1 BY MR. GERAGOS:

2 Q Does that refresh your recollection that you talked to
3 Allison Baker three times?

4 A As per the reports, yes.

5 Q Now didn't you tell Allison Baker that the first time
6 that those invoices were changed, it wasn't because of the
7 raid, it was because Jacob wanted you to change them? Isn't
8 that what you told her?

9 A I would need to see the statement.

10 Q So you don't remember, as you sit here, whether you
11 told her that?

12 A This statement took place five years ago, Mr. Geragos.
13 I do not recall what was specifically said in that
14 statement.

15 Q But I'm asking you, five years ago you told Allison
16 Baker that Jacob was the one who sent the invoice back and
17 told you to change it?

18 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection, Your Honor. She's
19 answered the question. She's asked to see the document.
20 Mr. Geragos showed her the document.

21 MR. GERAGOS: I'm testing her memory. That's what
22 cross is.

23 I'll leave it. It's okay. If we're not seeking
24 the truth and we're just playing games, that's fine.

25 MS. GOEMAAT: Objection. Move to strike that

1 commentary.

2 BY MR. GERAGOS:

3 Q Does the fact that -- you were asked -- you had three
4 meetings --

5 THE COURT: Excuse me, Mr. Geragos. There was an
6 objection.

7 MS. GOEMAAT: I would move to strike his
8 commentary that games are being played and truth is not
9 being sought.

10 THE COURT: Granted.

11 BY MR. GERAGOS:

12 Q You had three meetings, correct?

13 A As per the documents, yes.

14 Q The first meeting, Furando was the one who wanted to
15 talk about the fraud scheme, and you specifically told
16 Allison Baker and testified in the grand jury that the only
17 thing Levon Termendzhyan said was basically stop bugging me,
18 I don't care about this, I just want heating oil less 60,
19 correct?

20 A Yes.

21 Q The second meeting was where Furando was telling him on
22 a call -- Furando was bugging you, correct?

23 A Mr. Furando was communicating with me throughout the
24 day asking for updates as to whether or not the deal had
25 been completed.

1 Q And you never cut a deal that day either?

2 A The only thing that we had agreed on was to do a short
3 test run, that is correct.

4 Q You never cut a deal, correct? You testified at the
5 grand jury and you said in your reports we had most, but we
6 didn't have a deal. Wasn't that what we established before?

7 A A deal cannot be completed without a date, railcars,
8 pickup, price, et cetera. What we agreed upon was a short
9 test run, that is correct.

10 Q So you didn't have a deal, correct? You said there
11 were missing elements, you didn't have a deal.

12 A It was leading up to a deal. So no, we did not have a
13 deal at the end of that meeting.

14 Q And you did not have a deal at the end of the five
15 minutes in the parking lot when he was in an RV, correct?

16 A Correct.

17 Q So your three first person meetings where you were
18 actually present, there was no deal with Levon Termendzhyan
19 that was consummated, correct?

20 A That is correct.

21 Q Thank you.

22 MR. GERAGOS: I have no further questions.

23 Oh, wait. I do have.

24 BY MR. GERAGOS:

25 Q You were asked about PTD RIN. Do you remember that?

1 A Yes.

2 Q What does PTD stand for?

3 A Product transfer documents.

4 Q Okay. What's a product transfer document of a RIN?

5 A It is a piece of paper that shows the RIN going from
6 one party to another.

7 Q So in the document that you were shown, it would have
8 gone from United Fuel Supply to Noil, correct?

9 THE COURT: Ms. Goemaat.

10 MS. GOEMAAT: I'm sorry, Your Honor.

11 THE COURT: Go ahead.

12 MR. GERAGOS: I'm sorry.

13 THE COURT: I thought there was an objection.

14 MR. GERAGOS: I heard movement, but I didn't hear
15 an objection.

16 BY MR. GERAGOS:

17 Q The PTD would be -- you're looking at something. You
18 haven't seen this before today when Ms. Goemaat just showed
19 it to you, have you?

20 A The first time that I saw it was yesterday, that is
21 correct.

22 Q So she showed it to you yesterday?

23 A As part of our preparation, yes.

24 Q And did you tell her what the PTD was yesterday?

25 A Yes.

1 Q What did you tell her?

2 A I told her that it was a product transfer document.

3 Q That would go from United Fuel Supply to Noil?

4 A I believe that is what it represents, yes.

5 Q So United Fuel Supply wouldn't have the RIN at that
6 point, correct?

7 A They would have had possession of the RIN in order to
8 do the product transfer document.

9 Q And who would it have been transferred to?

10 A To Noil.

11 Q Correct. Were you shown any document yesterday or
12 today that shows that Noil exercised the cashing in of the
13 RIN?

14 A No.

15 Q Thank you.

16 FURTHER REDIRECT EXAMINATION

17 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

18 Q I just have one question, Ms. Pattison. In these
19 meetings that you have now testified to repeatedly with
20 Mr. Levon Termendzhyan, did you at that time or do you now
21 have any question in your mind about whether you were
22 blatantly discussing entering into a fraud scheme with
23 Mr. Termendzhyan?

24 MR. GERAGOS: There's an objection. It's
25 irrelevant and it exceeds the scope of cross.

1 THE COURT: Overruled. You went beyond the scope
2 last time.

3 MS. GOEMAAT: I'll ask it again.

4 BY MS. GOEMAAT:

5 Q In these meetings that you've described having where
6 you discussed this scheme with Levon Termendzhyan, did you
7 have any doubt then that you were blatantly discussing fraud
8 with Mr. Termendzhyan?

9 A No.

10 Q As you reflect on them today, do you have any doubt
11 today that you were blatantly discussing a fraud scheme with
12 Mr. Termendzhyan?

13 A I do not have any doubt.

14 MS. GOEMAAT: No further questions for this
15 witness.

16 THE COURT: All right.

17 FURTHER RECROSS-EXAMINATION

18 BY MR. GERAGOS:

19 Q I just want to make sure that you were discussing fraud
20 in your head, right?

21 THE COURT: Stand up, sir.

22 BY MR. GERAGOS:

23 Q You were discussing fraud?

24 A It was myself, Mr. Furando, and Mr. Termendzhyan.

25 Q Mr. Termendzhyan wasn't selling you anything, you were

1 trying to sell him, correct?

2 A Correct.

3 Q Thank you.

4 THE COURT: Anything further of this witness?

5 MS. GOEMAAT: No further questions for this
6 witness.

7 Thank you so much, Your Honor.

8 MR. GERAGOS: No further questions, Your Honor.

9 THE COURT: All right. You may step down,
10 Ms. Pattison. Thank you for your testimony.

11 THE WITNESS: Thank you, Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: May the witness be excused?

13 MS. GOEMAAT: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.

14 THE COURT: Thank you.

15 Do we have anything further?

16 MS. GOEMAAT: Not from the government, Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. Let me ask about the
18 deposition scheduled for next week, but I understand the
19 witness to be in London. What time are we going to begin?

20 MS. GOEMAAT: This is a defense deposition,
21 Your Honor.

22 MR. GERAGOS: My understanding -- but I'll be
23 filing something -- is that the government contacted the
24 lawyers for the witness and now the witness has declined to
25 testify after interacting with the government. So I'm going

1 to make a formal demand today of the government as to what
2 they communicated to the witness, and then I'll take
3 appropriate action after I've seen what they communicated
4 with the lawyer for the witness. As of now, the lawyer sent
5 a letter yesterday saying they're no longer going to comply
6 or participate. And on information and belief, that was
7 after the lawyer had received a communication from the
8 government.

9 THE COURT: All right. Anything else?

10 MR. ROLWING: Nothing from the government,
11 Your Honor.

12 THE COURT: Then we'll be in recess. Thank you.
13 Counsel, are you saying that it is off at this
14 time?

15 MR. GERAGOS: Yes. At this time it's off,
16 Your Honor.

17 THE COURT: All right. If there is any change in
18 that, if you will let the Court know -- apparently you're
19 going to file something -- so I'll know whether to come in.
20 Thank you.

21 MS. GOEMAAT: Thank you, Your Honor.

22 MR. ROLWING: Thank you, Your Honor.

23 THE COURT: Thank you.

24 (Whereupon, the proceeding was concluded.)
25

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25

C E R T I F I C A T E

I hereby certify that the foregoing matter is
transcribed from the stenographic notes taken by me and is a
true and accurate transcription of the same.

PATTI WALKER, CSR-RPR-CP DATED: 8-22-19
Official Court Reporter
351 South West Temple, #8.431
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
801-364-5440